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APPENDIX 1: TECHNIQUES FOR YIELD ESTIMATION, AREA MEASUREMENT AND FIRST ROUND QUESTIONNAIRES

## PART 1: YIELD ESTIMATION METHOD

Maize. The method used for maize plot selection (2 sample plots per field) was as follows. ${ }^{1}$ First, enumerators asked the farmer to identify the center of the field, then stretched two ropes to transect the field at right angles. The ropes were marked with knots every 4 meters. Standing at the center of the field, the enumerator numbered the quadrants (\#1 quadrant is closest to the northwest, numbering continues clockwise). The enumerator then selected two of the four quadrants for the yield sample using a random number table. S/he next (for each selected quadrant) located the starting point for the 2 mx 4 m sample plot by using a random number table and starting from the corresponding knot on the rope. The enumerator marked the area with a 2 m x 4 m bamboo frame, set stakes and cordoned off the plot with twine. S/he then recorded the number of plants and maize ears in the plot, measured the between-plant and between-row spacing, and interviewed the farmer about soil fertility, plot history, and the farmer's expected yield from the plot.

Following sample plot marking, the farmer was asked to advise the enumerator when he was ready to harvest the field. On that day the enumerator and the farmer harvested the sample plot together, and the enumerator placed the ears in a bag and carried it to the extension agent's house for safekeeping. When the farmer finished harvesting his field he came to the extension agent's house to thresh the maize. The supervisor then weighed the grain sample, took a moisture reading and returned the grain to the farmer.

Tef. The selection of sample plots in tef fields was done in a slightly different way to minimize crop damage caused by walking in the field. Farmers first identified the boundary points for the field, the enumerator numbered the points (with the point closest to the northwest labeled \#1, and continuing clockwise) and used the random number table to identify a starting point. The farmer stood at this point and threw a ball of twine into the field (he was not told why). The point where the twine fell was the starting point for the $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 4 \mathrm{~m}$ quadrant, and the quadrant was marked with stakes and twine as above. After the tef in the sample plot was harvested it was taken to the extension agent's house to dry. Both the grain and straw were weighed. The farmer threshed the tef and the supervisor weighed and returned the grain to the farmers.

[^0]
# PART 2: TECHNIQUES FOR FIELD AREA MEASUREMENT 

# FIELD AREA MEASUREMENT USING THE POLY PROGRAM FOR THE HP 48G CALCULATOR 

by Donald Beaver and Julie Howard ${ }^{2}$

Part A: Notes on measuring and calculating farm area

1. Basics. The basic idea behind field area measurement is to identify the corners of the field, use a compass to take the bearing (from North) between consecutive (moving clockwise) points, and measure the side lengths between each of the points. The bearing and side length data will be entered into the HP 48 programmable calculators and area and the percent error will automatically be calculated (see Part B for instructions on using the calculators). The program works by dividing the field up into triangles, calculating the area of each triangle and summing them. The beauty of using the programmable calculators is that you can have the enumerators take the bearings and side measurements, then immediately check the area while everyone is still in the field. If the closing error is greater than $5 \%$, the enumerators should redo the bearings and side measurements.
2. How to measure and record field area. Starting from point A (see Figure 2), use a compass to take the bearing to the next point (moving clockwise). We found it easiest to do this work in teams, with one person standing at point A taking the bearing to point B , and the second person standing at point B , if possible marking it with a long stick with a piece of cloth tied to it. As the second person walks from point A to point B he or she can also be taking the side measurement AB at the same time with the tape measure. And so on, around the field, one person stands at point $B$ and takes the bearing to point $C$, the second person marks point $C$ with a stick and cloth and measures BC side length, etc.

The enumerators should be very careful about how they record the bearings and corresponding side lengths (see Appendix 2). They also need to roughly sketch the shape of the field, marking the corners (A,B,C,D etc.). This is important because in some cases we will have concave rather than convex polygons (see Figure 2). For a convex polygon, it doesn't matter what point you start with when entering data into the HP48 program. If the polygon is concave, though, the starting point (that is, the first point that is entered into the HP48 program) MUST be the first point beyond the concavity, moving in a clockwise direction. Otherwise the program will overestimate the field area.

Some enumerators will have a natural aptitude for using a compass, others won't. We found it useful to spend a day training all enumerators in taking bearings and measuring side lengths, then we tested them to see how well they could read the bearings on their own. We chose the best

[^1]ones for specialized area measurement teams, and let the rest focus on other survey tasks.

## Part B: Instructions for using HP 48G to determine farm plot area

a.. Turn the calculator ON by pressing ON key at lower left-hand corner of calculator.
b. Start the PLGY (POLYGON) program by pressing the white-capped key aligned with the "PLGY" name in the list at the bottom of the calculator display screen. If you don't see the name "PLGY" at the bottom of the screen, then press the "NXT" key (last righthand key in the second row of calculator keys, under the row of white-capped keys). If it still doesn't appear, press the VAR key, then press NXT again. If you have started the PLGY program successfully, you will see "Irregular Polygon Area--key requested data, PRESS ENTER (any key to start) on the screen.
c. Enter the number of decimals (in your side measurements), then press enter.
d. Enter the number of sides of the field, then press enter.
e. The program will prompt you to key in each angle.
f. The program will prompt you to key in the measurement of each side (in meters).
g. The program then automatically calculates the total AREA, and gives you the percent closing error. If the percent error is greater than 5\%, the field angles and sides should be re-measured.
h. The program will ask if you want to do ANOTHER RUN. Type is Y or N by pushing the alpha key ( $4^{\text {th }}$ row from the bottom, $1^{\text {st }}$ key on the left) and then pressing the corresponding key with the letter " Y " or " N ," then enter.
i. To TURN THE CALCULATOR OFF, press the right-shift key (RS) (the green key located just above the ON button), then press OFF (same key as the ON key, with OFF written in green above.
j. If you make a mistake and want to reenter the data on that line, press CANCEL (the ON key).

1. EXAMPLE: Enter the following data (a rectangle of 2 hectares): Angles: 0,90,180,270. Enter each value followed by the ENTER key. Sides: 100,200,100,200. Enter each value followed by the ENTER key. Read Hectares: 2.00 and Percent Error: 0.00 in the display

For the convex polygon, data can be

polygon
For the concave polygon, starting from point A or C will include area not in the polygon for the first triangle. Any other C starting points will yield correct areas.


## Figure 2. Measuring Area for Convex and Concave Polygons

The starting point must be adjusted manually for the concave case to prevent inclusion of extra area, or in very complex shaped concave polygons (more than one concavity), overlapping areas within it.

## Part C: Notes on concave and convex polygons and formulas used in the HP48G POLY program

Entering Data:
For a convex polygon (see Figure 2), enter data for each angle and its corresponding side length, in meters, from any point, moving clockwise from that point until all data are entered.

For a concave polygon, enter data starting with the first point beyond the concavity in a clockwise direction, (point C Figure 2), and continue clockwise from there. When more than one concavity occurs. I suggest breaking the polygon into two or more polygons. Computing the area for each separately, and then add them.

Formula used for the HP48 program.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i} \Delta X_{i}-X_{i} \Delta Y_{i}\right)+\frac{Y_{n}}{\dot{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{\dot{n}} X_{i}-\frac{X_{n}}{\dot{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i} \\
& \text { Where } \Delta X_{j}=X_{j} \operatorname{Sin} \alpha \text { and } \Delta Y_{j}=Y_{j} \operatorname{Cos} \alpha \\
& \text { and } \\
& \qquad X_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{i} \Delta X_{j} \text { and } Y_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{i} \Delta Y_{j} \\
& \text { for } \alpha_{j} \text { angles and } a_{j} \text { sides, with i verticies }
\end{aligned}
$$

The correction formula is:

$$
C=\frac{\sqrt{X_{n}^{2}+Y_{n}^{2}}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}} \cdot 100
$$

## Part D: POLY Program

Key-Stroke Definitions: Special Symbols

| HP48 Code | Key Strokes | HP48 Code | Key Strokes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| : | RS, :: | CLEAR | LS, CLEAR |
| ? | $\alpha$, LS, $\prec$ (or special chars) | CLLCD | PRG, NXT, OUT, CLLCD |
| () | LS, () | DISP | PRG, NXT, OUT, DISP |
| \{\} | LS, \{\} | DO UNTIL <br> END | PRG, BRCH, DO, (DO) <br> (UNTIL) (END) same for any |
| $+-/ * \sqrt{ }$ | FROM KEYBOARD | DUP | LS, STACK, NXT, DUP |
| = = | PRG, TEST, = = | FIX | LS, MODES, FMT, FIX |
| \# | PRG, TEST, \# | GET | PRG, LIST, ELEM, GET |
| «» | LS, <<>> | GETI | PRG, LIST, ELEM, GETI |
| ،" | RS, "" | IF THEN ELSE | PRG, BRCH, IF, (IF) (THEN) (ELSE) Same for any |
| \% | $\alpha, \mathrm{RS}, \mathrm{U}$ (or special chars) | INPUT | PRG, NXT, IN, INPUT |
| $\alpha$ | Next key press a capital letter, ends automatically | OBJ $\rightarrow$ | PRG, LIST, OBJ $\rightarrow$ |
| $\alpha \alpha$ | Next and subsequent key presses capital letters; end with $\alpha$ | SAME | PRG, TEST, NXT, SAME |
| $\alpha \alpha \operatorname{LS} \alpha$ | all lower case letter, ends with $\alpha$ | SQ | LS, $\mathrm{x}^{2}$ |
| $\alpha \alpha$ LS $\alpha$ LS | First letter upper case, all rest lower case. (See Manual pg 2.4) | STO | STO |
| BEEP | PRG, NXT, OUT, NXT, BEEP |  |  |

RS = Right Shift key (lower left side, blue). LS = Left Shift key (lower left side, purple).
Entering a program using the LS «» keys shows in the HP48 as « program codes». The markers enclose the program. Sub programs within a program are similarly marked. When entering program codes, the editor automatically inserts codes between the symbols. The editor does the same for ( ), \{ \}, : :, and "" codes. I HAVE SHOWN THE CODES BELOW AS THEY APPEAR IN THE HP48 SCREEN. Most commands require a space, the SPC key, between them. When in doubt, put in a space. When entering letters in single or double quotes, after keying $\alpha$ to end letters, remember to key right cursor, RC , to move the cursor out of the quotes before entering the next command.
"BEEP" SUBROUTINE

| HP48 Codes | Notes: |
| :--- | :--- |
| « | Key ENTER to save and <br> end |
| 1500 0.1 BEEP $[[$ at this point, key ENTER to save and end] $]$ | Saved as TN |
| 'TN' STO [[key to store program in a variable name $]]$ |  |

INPUT SUBROUTINE

| HP48 Codes | Notes: |
| :--- | :--- |
| « INPUT OBJ $\rightarrow$ <br> $» ~[[~ a t ~ t h i s ~ p o i n t, ~ k e y ~ E N T E R ~ t o ~ s a v e ~ a n d ~ e n d]] ~$ | Key ENTER to save <br> and end |
| 'I' STO [[key to store program in a variable name ]] | Saved as I |

STARTUP PROGRAM: POLY

| HP48 Codes | Notes: |
| :--- | :--- |
| « | Key ENTER to save |
| TN TN CLLCD " Irregular Polygon Area" 2 DISP " Key Requested Data, | and end. |
| PRESS ENTER" 4 DISP" (Any key to start)" 7 DISP 0 WAIT 0 FIX |  |
| CLEAR 1 'TT' STO 1 'NN' STO TN "Decimals in Answer?" ":number:" I |  |
| 'D' STO TN REQA |  |
| » [[ at this point, key ENTER to save and end]] |  |
| 'POLY' STO [[key to store program in a variable name ]] |  |

INPUT ANGLES SUBROUTINE: REQA

| HP48 Codes | Notes; |
| :--- | :--- |
| " |  |
| TN "How many sides?"' ":number:" I 'CC' STO 1 'TT' STO 1 'NN' |  |
| STO |  |
| WHILE ‘CC $\neq$ TT-1' |  |
| REPEAT TN "Key angle" NN + ‘‘:degrees:" I 1 NN STO+ 1 'TT' |  |
| STO+ END CC $\rightarrow$ LIST 'BNG' STO 1 'NN' STO 1 'TT' STO TN TN |  |
| REQL |  |
| » [[ at this point, key ENTER to save and end]] |  |
| 'REQA' STO [[key to store program in a variable name ]] | Saved as REQA |

INPUT SIDE LENGTHS SUBROUTINE: REQL

| HP48 Codes | Notes: |
| :--- | :--- |
| « | Key ENTER to save and |
| IF ‘CC $\neq$ TT-1' | end. |
| THEN TN "Key Side" NN + '‘:length (m):" I 1 'NN' STO+ |  |
| 1 'TT' STO |  |
| REQL |  |
| ELSE TN CC $\rightarrow$ LIST 'LEN' STO LEN BNG SIN * 'XL' STO |  |
| LEN BNG COS * 'YL' STO CLLCD |  |
| '"Calculating. .." 4 DISP CALC1 END |  |
| » [[ at this point, key ENTER to save and end]] |  |
| 'REQL'STO [[key to store program in a variable name ]] | Saved as REQL |

## SUBROUTINE CALC: CALC1

| HP48 Codes | Notes: |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { « } 1 \text { 'NN' STO XL NN GETI ‘XC' STO XC NN } \rightarrow \text { LIST ‘Xi' STO } \\ & \text { DO GETI XC + 'XC' STO Xi XC + 'Xi' STO } \\ & \text { UNTIL DUP } 1== \\ & \text { END } \end{aligned}$ | Key ENTER to save and end. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Xi } \\ & \text { «+ } \\ & \text { » STREAM 'XiS' STO } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Xi CC GET ‘LSTXI' STO 1 'NN' STO YL NN GETI ‘YC' STO YC NN $\rightarrow$ LIST 'Yi' STO |  |
| DO GETI YC + 'YC' STO Yi YC + 'Yi' STO |  |
| ```UNTIL DUP 1= = END Yi » STREAM 'YiS' STO Yi CC GET 'LSTYI' STO FCALC D FIX CORCT``` |  |
| (Any key to cont.)" 7 DISP 0 WAIT TN TN CLLCD \{A PCNT SUM1 SUM2 SUML SUMX SUMY XiYL YiXL LSTYI LSTXI YiS XiS YC XC Yi Xi XL YL LEN BNG CC NN TT\} PURGE |  |
| "ANOTHER RUN? (Y/N)" "" INPUT <br> IF "Y" SAME <br> THEN REQA <br> ELSE TN TN \{D\} PURGE CLLCD "PROGRAM OVER" 4 DISP 3 <br> WAIT CLEAR END OFF <br> » [ [ at this point, key ENTER to save and end]] |  |
| 'CALC1' STO [[key to store program in a variable name ]] | Saved as CALC1 |

SUBROUTINE FINAL CALC: FCALC

| HP48 Codes | Notes: |
| :--- | :--- |
| « |  |
| Yi XL * 'YiXL' STO Xi YL* 'XiYL' STO YiXL XiYL - 'SUM1' |  |
| STO SUM1 |  |
| «+ |  |
| »STREAM 'SUM2' STO SUM2 LSTYI CC / XiS * LSTXI CC / YiS |  |
| $*-+2 / 10000 /$ 'A' STO |  |
| » [ [at this point, key ENTER to save and end ]] |  |
| 'FCALC' STO [[key to store program in a variable name ]] | Saved as FCALC |

## SUBROUTINE CORRECT: CORCT

| HP48 Codes | Notes: |
| :---: | :---: |
| ```«LEN < + STREAM ‘SUML’ STO XL \(+\) STREAM 'SUMX' STO YL + STREAM 'SUMY' STO SUMX SQ SUMY SQ + \(\sqrt{ }\) SUML / 100 * 'PCNT' STO CLEAR CLLCD " Area is: " 2 DISP A " Hectares" +3 DISP "Percent error:" PCNT + "\%" + 5 DISP » [[ at this point, key ENTER to save and end]]``` |  |
| 'CORCT' STO [[key to store program in a variable name ]] | Saved as CORCT |

Part E: Area Measurements from Ethiopia to use for practice with the HP 48/Poly Program

| Farm No. | Side | Bearing | Length | Area | Error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 301 | AB | 82 | 48.15 | 0.59 | 2.54 |
|  | BC | 156 | 24.05 | 0.58 | 2.54 |
|  | CD | 183 | 44.8 | 0.58 | 2.54 |
|  | DE | 205 | 72.8 | .6 | 2.54 |
|  | EF | 306 | 39.7 |  |  |
|  | FG | 9 | 39.45 |  | 2.54 |
|  | GH | 17 | 31.04 | .6 |  |
|  | HA | 358 | 28.74 | .509 | .162 |
|  | AB | 102 | 90.8 | .509 | .162 |
|  | BC | 202 | 58 | .51 | .162 |
|  | CD | 279 | 65.68 | .511 | .162 |
|  | DE | 355 | 18.6 | .51 | .162 |
|  | EF | 282 | 14.21 | .51 | .162 |
|  | FG | 5 | 31.6 | 14.33 |  |

## PART 3: $1^{\text {ST }}$ ROUND QUESTIONNAIRES

# Ministry of Economic Development and Cooperation <br> Grain Marketing Research Project <br> with the collaboration of Sasakawa-Global 2000 

# Survey of Input Utilization and Marketing in the Smallholder Sector (Part I) 

(October-November 1997)

## Zone

Woreda

Farmer Association
FA

Household Number HH

Farmer Name
Enumerator
$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH __QTYPE_

## 1. Maize Plot

Field Map: Using a compass and tape, measure all sides and angles of the field. Sketch the field below, noting side and angle measurements.

| Points | AB | BC | C - | D - | E- | F- | G- | H- | I- | J- | K- |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bearing (degrees) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Si de <br> me a sure m <br> ent <br> (me ters) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Total area of field $\qquad$ (square meters)

Coordinates:
North
E ast $\qquad$
$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$
$\qquad$ _QTYPE_

1. Maize Plot

Table 1. Description of the Maize Field

*
1.tef 2.maize 3. wheat 4.barley 5. sorghum
6.millet 7.pulses 8 . oilseeds $9 . f$ fallow 10. other (specify)
$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$

## 1. Maize Plot

Table 2. Plot History

**
1.tef 2.maize 3.wheat 4.barley 5. sorghum
6.millet 7.pulses 8. oilseeds 9.fallow 10.other (specify)

## 1. Maize Plot

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE__

Table 3. Sample Plot Data for Yield Estimation

| Selected <br> $2 \times 4$ meter <br> plot | No. plants | No. ears | Distance between plants (cm) | Distance between rows (cm) | No. seeds/hill | Amt. of fertilizer used per hill during planting (basal) |  | Amt. of fertilizer used per hill as a top dressing |  | Weight of grain after harvest (kg) | Moisture content (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Qt. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Units } \\ & \text { 1.Coke cap } \\ & \text { 2.spoon } \\ & \text { 3.other (specify) } \end{aligned}$ | Qt | Units <br> 1.Coke cap <br> 2.spoon <br> 3.other <br> (specify) |  |  |
| IIII | III6 | III7 | III8 | III9 | III10 | III11 | III12 | III13 | III14 | III3 | III5 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Ministry of Economic Development and Cooperation 

Grain Marketing Research Project
with the collaboration of Sasakawa-Global 2000

# Survey of Input Utilization and Marketing in the Smallholder Sector (Part I) 

(October-November 1997)
a. (Tef) - QTYPE

## Zone

$\qquad$ ZON
Woreda $\qquad$ WOR

Farmer Association FA

Household Number HH

Farmer Name
Enumerator
ENUM
$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA _ HH $\qquad$ _QTYPE_

1. Tef Plot

Field Map: Using a compass and tape, measure all sides and angles of the field. Sketch the field below, noting side and angle measurements.

| Points | AB | BC | C - | D - | E- | F- | G- | H- | I- | J- | K- |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bearing (degrees) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Si de <br> me asure m <br> e nt <br> (me t e rs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Total area of field $\qquad$ (square meters)

Coordinates:
North
E ast
$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA _ HH
$\qquad$ _QTYPE__

1. Tef Plot

Table 1. Description of the Tef Field


| 1.tef | 2.maize | 3. wheat | 4.barley | 5. sorghum |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6.millet | 7.pulses | 8. oilseeds | 9.fallow | 10.other (specify) |

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$
$\qquad$ _QTYPE $\qquad$

1. Tef Plot

Table 2. Plot History

| What crops were planted in this field?** (use codes below) |  |  |  | Use of fertilizer and manure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 95/96 |  |  | 94/95 |  |  | 93/94 |  |  | 92/93 |  |  |
| 95/96 | 94/95 | 93/94 | 92/93 | DAP | Urea | $\begin{gathered} \text { Manur } \\ \mathrm{e} \end{gathered}$ | DAP | Urea | $\begin{gathered} \text { Manur } \\ \mathrm{e} \end{gathered}$ | DAP | Urea | $\begin{gathered} \text { Manur } \\ \mathrm{e} \end{gathered}$ | DAP | Urea | $\begin{gathered} \text { Manur } \\ \mathrm{e} \end{gathered}$ |
| II1 | II1 | II1 | II1 | II2 | II3 | II4 | II2 | II3 | II4 | II2 | II3 | II4 | II2 | II3 | II4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**

| 1.tef | 2.maize | 3. wheat | 4.barley | 5. sorghum |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6.millet | 7.pulses | 8. oilseeds | 9.fallow | 10.other (specify) |

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA HH $\qquad$ _QTYPE_

Table 3. Sample Plot Data for Yield Estimation

| Selected $2 \times 4$ meter plot | Weight of grain and straw before threshing (kgs) | Weight after threshing (kgs) |  | Moisture content(\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Grain | Straw |  |
| III1 | III2 | III3 | III4 | III5 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX 2: $2^{\text {ND }}$ ROUND QUESTIONNAIRES

# MINISTRY OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND COOPERATION GRAIN MARKETING RESEARCH PROJECT <br> with the collaboration of <br> Sasakawa-Global 2000 

Survey of Input Utilization and Marketing in the Smallholder Sector - PART II October-November 1997

## CURRENT SG PARTICIPANT: MAIZE

$\qquad$

| Zone |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| Woreda |  | ZON |
| Farmer Association |  | WOR |
| Household Number |  | FA |
| HH |  |  |

Name of Farmer

Enumerator $\qquad$ ENUM

In what years have you participated in the SG2000 program (mark all appropriate)? No=0 Yes=1

96/97 season (this season)?
95/96 season?
 S9697

94/95 season?


93/94 season?
S9495

92/93 season?
$\qquad$
S9394

In what years have you participated in the government extension program (mark all appropriate)? $\mathrm{No}=0$ Yes=1
96/97 season (this season)? P9697
95/96 season?
94/95 season?
93/94 season?
AF1

P9596
P9495
P9394
Household head's level of education
0 Illiterate
$1,2, \ldots 12$ Last year of school completed
99 Did not attend public school, but knows how to read and write (includes religious school)
$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ _FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

## PART I. THE FARM

Table IV. AREA AND INPUT USE ON MAJOR CROPS IN MEHER SEASON

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ _FA $\qquad$ _HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$
Table IV. AREA AND INPUT USE ON MAJOR CROPS IN MEHER SEASON (CON’T)

| YEAR | CROP \#2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CROP | Area - Own Land |  | Area - Rented, Sharecropped or Borrowed Land |  | Input \#1 |  |  | Input \#2 |  |  | Input \#3 |  |  | Input \#4 |  |
|  | (use codes below) | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit } \\ & 1=\text { timad } \\ & 2=\text { kert } \\ & 3=\text { ha } \\ & 4=\text { fachasa } \\ & 20=o t h e r \\ & \text { (specify) } \end{aligned}$ | No. | Unit <br> 1=timad <br> 2=kert <br> 3=ha <br> 4=fachasa <br> 20=other <br> (specify) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & \text { ** } \\ & \text { (use codes } \\ & \text { below) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. | $$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Type } \\ * * \\ \text { (use codes } \\ \text { below) } \end{array}$ | Qty. | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & * * \\ & \text { (use codes } \\ & \text { below) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & * * \\ & \text { (use codes } \\ & \text { below) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. |
| YEAR | CROP | IV1 | IV2 | IV3 | IV4 | IV5 | IV6 | IV7 | IV8 | IV9 | IV10 | IV11 | IV12 | IV13 | IV14 | IV15 |
| 9697 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9495 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9394 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9293 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * Crop Codes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1=\text { tef } \\ & 6=\text { millet } \end{aligned}$ | naize pulses | $\begin{aligned} & 3=\text { wheat } \\ & 8=\text { oilseeds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4=barley } \\ & 20=\text { other (sp } \end{aligned}$ | orgh <br> fy) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & * * \text { Input Ty } \\ & \text { 100=Seed } \\ & \text { 500=herbic } \end{aligned}$ | Codes tment |  |  | impro field i |  | $\begin{aligned} & 300=1 \\ & \mathbf{7 0 0}= \end{aligned}$ | $\text { AP } 4$ orage | cide800=fun | icide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ _FA $\qquad$ _HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

Table IV. AREA AND INPUT USE ON MAJOR CROPS IN MEHER SEASON (CON'T)

| YEAR | CROP \#3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CROP | Area - Own Land |  | Area - Rented, Sharecropped or Borrowed Land |  | Input \#1 |  |  | Input \#2 |  |  | Input \#3 |  |  | Input \#4 |  |
|  | (use codes below) | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit } \\ & 1=\text { timad } \\ & 2=\text { kert } \\ & \text { 3=ha } \\ & \text { 4=fachasa } \\ & \text { 20=other } \\ & \text { (specify) } \end{aligned}$ | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit } \\ & 1=\text { timad } \\ & 2=\text { kert } \\ & 3=\text { ha } \\ & 4=\text { fachasa } \\ & 20=\text { other } \\ & \text { (specify) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & * * \\ & \text { (use codes } \\ & \text { below) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & * * \\ & \text { (use codes } \\ & \text { below) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & \text { ** } \\ & \text { (use codes } \\ & \text { below) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & \text { ** } \\ & \text { (use codes } \\ & \text { below) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. |
| YEAR | CROP | IV1 | IV2 | IV3 | IV4 | IV5 | IV6 | IV7 | IV8 | IV9 | IV10 | IV11 | IV12 | IV13 | IV14 | IV15 |
| 9697 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9495 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9394 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9293 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * Crop Codes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1=\text { tef } \\ & 6=\text { millet } \end{aligned}$ | maize pulses | $\begin{aligned} & 3=\text { wheat } \\ & 8=\text { oilseeds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4=barley } \\ & 20=\text { other (spe } \end{aligned}$ | orgh <br> fy) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & * * \text { Input Ty } \\ & \text { 100=Seed } \\ & \text { 500 }=\text { herbic } \end{aligned}$ | Codes atment e |  |  | impro <br> field |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 0 0}=1 \\ & \mathbf{7 0 0}= \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AP } 4 \\ & \text { torage } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { cide } 800=\text { funs }$ | icide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ _FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$
Table IV. AREA AND INPUT USE ON MAJOR CROPS IN MEHER SEASON

| YEAR | CROP \#4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CROP | Area - Own Land |  | Area - Rented, Sharecropped or Borrowed Land |  | Input \#1 |  |  | Input \#2 |  |  | Input \#3 |  |  | Input \#4 |  |
|  | (use codes below) | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit } \\ & \text { 1=timad } \\ & 2=\text { kert } \\ & \text { 3=ha } \\ & \text { 4=fachasa } \\ & \text { 20=other } \\ & \text { (specify) } \end{aligned}$ | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit } \\ & \text { 1=timad } \\ & 2=\text { kert } \\ & \text { 3=ha } \\ & \text { 4=fachasa } \\ & 20=\text { other } \\ & \text { (specify) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & * * \\ & \text { (use codes } \\ & \text { below) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & * * \\ & \text { (use codes } \\ & \text { below) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & * * \\ & \text { (use codes } \\ & \text { below) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. | Unit $\begin{aligned} & 1=50 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & 2=100 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & 3=\mathrm{kg} \\ & 4=\text { liter } \\ & 20=0 \text { other } \\ & \text { (specify) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & \text { ** } \\ & \text { (use codes } \\ & \text { below) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. |
| YEAR | CROP | IV1 | IV2 | IV3 | IV4 | IV5 | IV6 | IV7 | IV8 | IV9 | IV10 | IV11 | IV12 | IV13 | IV14 | IV15 |
| 9697 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9495 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9394 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9293 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * Crop Codes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1=\text { tef } \\ & 6=\text { millet } \end{aligned}$ | maize | $\begin{aligned} & 3=\text { wheat } \\ & 8=\text { oilseeds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4=barley } 5 \\ & 20=\text { other (sp } \end{aligned}$ | orgh <br> ify) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ** Input Ty <br> $100=$ Seed t <br> $500=$ herbic | Codes tment |  |  | impro field |  | $\begin{aligned} & 300=1 \\ & 700= \end{aligned}$ | $\text { AP } 4$ | cide800=funs | icide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ _FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$
Table IV. AREA AND INPUT USE ON MAJOR CROPS IN MEHER SEASON (CON'T)

| YEAR | CROP \#5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CROP | Area - Own Land |  | Area - Rented, Sharecropped or Borrowed Land |  | Input \#1 |  |  | Input \#2 |  |  | Input \#3 |  |  | Input \#4 |  |
|  | (use codes below) | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit } \\ & 1=\text { timad } \\ & 2=\text { kert } \\ & 3=\text { ha } \\ & 4=\text { fachasa } \\ & 20=o t h e r \\ & \text { (specify) } \end{aligned}$ | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit } \\ & 1=\text { timad } \\ & 2=\text { kert } \\ & \text { 3=ha } \\ & \text { 4=fachasa } \\ & \text { 20=other } \\ & \text { (specify) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & * * \\ & \text { (use codes } \\ & \text { below) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & * * \\ & \text { (use codes } \\ & \text { below) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & \text { ** } \\ & \text { (use codes } \\ & \text { below) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & \text { ** } \\ & \text { (use codes } \\ & \text { below) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. |
| YEAR | CROP | IV1 | IV2 | IV3 | IV4 | IV5 | IV6 | IV7 | IV8 | IV9 | IV10 | IV11 | IV12 | IV13 | IV14 | IV15 |
| 9697 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9495 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9394 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9293 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * Crop Codes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1=\text { tef } \\ & 6=\text { millet } \end{aligned}$ | maize | $\begin{aligned} & 3=\text { wheat } \\ & 8=\text { oilseeds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4=barley } \\ & \text { 20=other (sp } \end{aligned}$ | orgh <br> ify) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & * * \text { Input Ty } \\ & \text { 100=Seed } \mathrm{t} \\ & \text { 500=herbic } \end{aligned}$ | Codes atment |  |  | impro <br> field |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 0 0}=1 \\ & \mathbf{7 0 0}= \end{aligned}$ |  | $\text { cide } 800=\text { fun }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

Table IV. AREA AND INPUT USE ON MAJOR CROPS IN MEHER SEASON (CON’T)

| YEAR | FALLOW/GRAZING AREA |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CROP/ <br> LAND <br> USE type | Area - Own Land |  | Area - Rented, Sharecropped or Borrowed Land |  |
|  | (use codes below) | No. | Unit <br> 1=timad <br> $2=$ kert <br> 3=ha <br> 4=fachasa <br> 20=other <br> (specify) | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit } \\ & 1=\text { timad } \\ & 2=\text { kert } \\ & 3=\text { ha } \\ & 4=\text { fachasa } \\ & 20=\text { other } \end{aligned}$ |
| YEAR | CROP | IV1 | IV2 | IV3 | IV4 |
| 9697 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| 9596 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| 9495 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| 9394 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| 9293 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| * Crop Codes: |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1=\text { tef } \\ & 6=\text { millet } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { naize } \\ & \text { pulses } \end{aligned}$ | 3=wheat <br> 8=oilseeds | 4=barley 11=fallow | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sorghu } \\ & \text { =othe } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| ** Input Type Codes $100=$ Seed treatment 500=herbicide |  |  | 200=improved seed 600=field insecticide |  |  |

[^2]$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

Has the total area (owned, rented, sharecropped or borrowed) planted to maize changed between 1992-93 and the current season?
$0=$ no change
1 = area planted to maize has increased slightly
2 = area planted to maize has increased significantly
3 = area planted to maize has decreased slightly
4 = area planted to maize has decreased significantly
If there was a change in maize area between 1992-93, give the three most important reasons for the area increase/decrease in order of importance:

AF3
AF4 $\qquad$
AF5 $\qquad$
$\qquad$ Do you plan to increase, decrease or maintain the area planted to maize during the 1997-98 season (next season?)
$0=$ no change
$1=$ will slightly increase area planted to maize
$2=$ will increase area planted to maize significantly
$3=$ will slightly decrease area planted to maize
$4=$ will decrease area planted to maize significantly
If you plan to increase or decrease the area planted to maize next season, give the three most important reasons why:
AF7
A8
AF9
$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$
Table V. LIVESTOCK HOLDINGS

| YEAR | LIVESTOCK 1 |  | LIVESTOCK 2 |  | LIVESTOCK 3 |  | LIVESTOCK 4 |  | LIVESTOCK 5 |  | LIVESTOCK 6 |  | LIVESTOCK 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type <br> (use codes below) | No. | Type <br> (use codes below) | No. | Type <br> (use codes below) | No. | Type <br> (use codes <br> below) | No. | Type <br> (use codes below) | No. | Type <br> (use codes below) | No. | Type <br> (use codes <br> below) | No. |
| YEAR | LIVE | NO | LIVE | NO | LIVE | NO | LIVE | NO | LIVE | NO | LIVE | NO | LIVE | NO |
| 9697 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9495 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9394 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9293 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| * Livestock type codes |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 $=$ plowing oxen  <br> 4= calves $(<2$ years $)$ $5=$ horses | 2= steers <br> 7 | 3= cows/heifers |

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA HH _QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$
PART II. THE HOUSEHOLD
Table VI. DEMOGRAPHIC DATA ABOUT THE HOUSEHOLD*

| Name | No. | Relationship to household head <br> 1 household head <br> 2 spouse <br> 3 son/daughter <br> 4 father/ <br> mother <br> 5 other relative <br> 6 hired help eating with the household <br> 7 other (specify) | Age** | $\begin{array}{\|ll}  & \text { Sex } \\ 1 \mathrm{~mm} & \\ 2 \mathrm{f} & \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NO | VI1 | VI2 | V13 |
| (Household head) | 1 | 1 |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |
|  | 8 |  |  |  |
|  | 9 |  |  |  |
|  | 10 |  |  |  |
|  | 11 |  |  |  |
|  | 12 |  |  |  |
|  | 13 |  |  |  |
|  | 14 |  |  |  |

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

## NOTES FOR ENUMERATORS

* The HOUSEHOLD is defined as persons living in the same compound who regularly eat together.
** AGE variable

1. Enumerators should first ask household helds for the exact age of household members in years.
2. The age of children less than 1 year of age (e.g., 3 months) should be recorded as "1."
3. If household heads cannot recall the exact age of household members, prompt for the birth year using the following list of significant historical events:
4. If household heads still cannot recall the birth year, as a last resort categorize the age of family members as follows:
$101=<7$ years of age
$102=(>=7,<=8)$
$103=(>=9,<=12)$
$104=(>=13,<=15)$
$105=(>=16,<=54)$
$106=(>=55)$
$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE ENUM $\qquad$

PART III. THE SG2000 PROGRAM MAIZE PLOT 1
WORKSHEET: MAIZE FIELD ACTIVITIES

| Activity | Power Source$\begin{aligned} & \text { 1=Tractor } \\ & \text { 2=Animal } \\ & \text { 3=Human } \\ & \text { 4=Human and } \\ & \text { Animal } \end{aligned}$ | When was it carried out? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Month $(1,2, \ldots, 12$ or indicate that not done $)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Week (START DATE)* } \\ & (\mathbf{1 , 2 , 3 , 4 )} \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 Seed treatment |  |  |  |
| 2 Clearing new land |  |  |  |
| 3 Removing crop stubble |  |  |  |
| 4 Bund making |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 11 $2^{\text {nd }}$ Plowing <br> 12   |  |  |  |
| $12.33^{\text {rd }}$ Plowing |  |  |  |
| $134^{\text {th }}$ Plowing |  |  |  |
| 14 $5^{\text {th }}$ Plowing <br> 16  |  |  |  |
| 16 Plowing for planting/making rows |  |  |  |
| 20 Planting seeds |  |  |  |
| 21 Planting seeds and $1^{\text {st }}$ application of fertilizer (DAP and/or Urea) AT THE SAME TIME |  |  |  |
| $30 \quad 1^{\text {st }}$ application of fertilizer (DAP |  |  |  |
| 22 Covering seeds |  |  |  |
| 23 Trampling/leveling |  |  |  |
| 40 Application of herbicide |  |  |  |
| $41 \quad 11^{\text {st }}$ weeding |  |  |  |
| 43 Thinning |  |  |  |
| 44 Cultivation |  |  |  |
| $31 \quad 22^{\text {nd }}$ application of fertilizer (Urea) |  |  |  |
| $42 \quad 2^{\text {nd }}$ weeding |  |  |  |
| $50 \quad 11^{\text {st }}$ application of insecticide |  |  |  |
| $51 \quad 2{ }^{\text {nd }}$ application of insecticide |  |  |  |
| $601^{\text {st }}$ application of fungicide |  |  |  |
| $61 \quad 2{ }^{\text {nd }}$ application of fungicide |  |  |  |
| 70 Harvest |  |  |  |
| 80 Transport to threshing area |  |  |  |
| 91 Dehusking/shelling |  |  |  |
| 81 Transport to storage area |  |  |  |
| 100 Other (specify) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

* Enumerators should try to get the farmer to recall the specific WEEK in which the activity was carried out. If the farmer cannot remember the week, prompt for a 2-week period and record this as e.g., WEEK 1-2, WEEK 3-4.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$
Table VII. LABOR USED IN THE SG2000 PROGRAM MAIZE PLOT 1

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$
$\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

Table VIII. INPUTS USED IN THE SG2000 PROGRAM MAIZE PLOT ___ 1

| Input | How much was used? (For animals/tractor no.days/hrs) |  | Did you pay cash or in kind for this input? | How did you get it? | When was it applied? (Copy dates from field worksheet) |  | How much did it cost? |  |  |  |  | Did you pay immediately after receiving the input or did you receive credit? <br> 1 immediate payment 2 Credit 3 Both (indicate amt. input received on credit) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Qt | Unit <br> $1=50 \mathrm{~kg}$ <br> $2=100 \mathrm{~kg}$ <br> $3=\mathrm{kg}$ <br> $4=$ liter <br> $5=$ oxen-days <br> $6=$ tractor hours <br> $7=$ tractor ha <br> 20= other <br> (specify) |  |  |  |  | Cash <br> (Birr) | In-Kind Payment |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 no (skip to the next input) 1 yes (proceed to next column) | 1 SG2000/ <br> Gov't extension program 2 <br> Trader/Market <br> 3 Rented (animals/tracto r) <br> 4 Own/Saved <br> 20 Other (specify) | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Month } \\ (1,2, \ldots 1 \\ 2) \end{array}$ | Week $(1,2,3,4)$ |  | Type <br> 1=tef <br> 2=maize <br> 3=wheat <br> 4=barley <br> $5=$ sorghum <br> $6==$ millet $\quad 7=$ <br> pulses <br> $8=$ oilseeds <br> 20=other <br> (specify) | Qty. | $\quad$ Unit <br> $1=50 \mathrm{~kg}$ <br> $2=100 \mathrm{~kg}$ <br> $3=\mathrm{kg}$ <br> 4=liter <br> $5=$ oxen- <br> days <br> $20=o t h e r$ <br> (specify) | Est. Total Value in Birr |  |
| INPUT | VIII1 | VIII2 | VIII3 | VIII4 | VIII5 | VIII6 | VIII7 | VIII8 | VIII9 | VIII10 | VIII11 | VIII12 |
| 100 Seed Treatment <br> Type $\qquad$ <br> Type $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200 Seed Variety (ies) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Animal Traction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 Making Bunds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 First Plowing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 Second Plowing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 Third Plowing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 Fourth Plowing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 Fifth Plowing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 Plowing for planting/making rows |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 Trampling/leveling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 Cultivation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

3. CURRENT SG2000 PROGRAM PARTICIPANT / MAIZE $\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

| Input | How much was used? (For animals/tractor no.days/hrs) |  | Did you pay cash or in kind for this input? | How did you <br> get it? <br> 1 SG2000/ | When was it applied? (Copy dates from field worksheet) |  | How much did it cost? |  |  |  |  | Did you pay immediately after receiving the input or did you receive credit? <br> 1 immediate payment 2 Credit 3 Both (indicate amt. input received on credit) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Qt | $$ |  |  |  |  | Cash <br> (Birr) | In-Kind Payment |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 no (skip to the next input) 1 yes (proceed to next column) | 1 SG2000/ <br> Gov't extension program <br> 2 <br> Trader/Market <br> 3 Rented (animals/tracto <br> r) <br> 4 Own/Saved <br> 20 Other <br> (specify) | Month $\text { \|(1,2,... } 1$ <br> 2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Week } \\ & (1,2,3,4) \end{aligned}$ |  | Type <br> 1=tef <br> 2=maize <br>  <br> 3=wheat <br> 4=barley <br> 5=sorghum <br> $6==$ millet <br> $\quad 7=$ <br> pulses <br> $8=$ =oilseeds <br> 20=other <br> (specify) | Qty. |  | Est. Total Value in Birr |  |
| INPUT | VIII1 | VIII2 | VIII3 | VIII4 | VIII5 | VIII6 | VIII7 | VIII8 | VIII9 | VIII10 | VIII11 | VIII12 |
| 80 Transport to threshing area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 91 Shelling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 81 Transport to storage area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 First Plowing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 Second Plowing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Inputs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300 DAP Fertilizer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400 Urea Fertilizer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500 Herbicide Type/form. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 600 Field Insecticide Type/form. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

3. CURRENT SG2000 PROGRAM PARTICIPANT / MAIZE

ZON $\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

| Input | How much was used? (For animals/tractor no.days/hrs) |  | Did you pay cash or in kind for this input? | How did you get it? <br> 1 SG2000/ | When was it applied? (Copy dates from field worksheet) |  | How much did it cost? |  |  |  |  | Did you payimmediatelyafterreceivingthe input ordid youreceivecredit?1 immediatepayment2 Credit3 Both(indicateamt. inputreceived oncredit) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Qt | Unit <br> $1=50 \mathrm{~kg}$ <br> $2=100 \mathrm{~kg}$ <br> $3=\mathrm{kg}$ <br> $4=$ liter <br> $5=0$ oxen-days <br> $6=$ tractor hours <br> $7=$ tractor ha <br> 20=other <br> (specify) |  |  |  |  | Cash <br> (Birr) | In-Kind Payment |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 no (skip to <br> the next <br> input) <br> 1 yes (proceed <br> to next <br> column) | 1 SG2000/ <br> Gov't extension program <br> 2 <br> Trader/Market <br> 3 Rented <br> (animals/tracto <br> r) <br> 4 Own/Saved <br> 20 Other <br> (specify) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Month } \\ & (1,2, \ldots 1 \\ & 2) \end{aligned}$ | Week $(\mathbf{1 , 2 , 3 , 4})$ |  | Type <br> 1=tef <br> 2=maize <br>  <br> $3=$ wheat <br> 4=barley <br> $5=$ sorghum <br> $6==$ millet <br> $\quad 7=$ <br> pulses <br> $8=o$ oilseeds <br> $20=o t h e r$ <br> (specify) | Qty. | $\quad$ Unit <br> $1=50 \mathrm{~kg}$ <br> $2=100 \mathrm{~kg}$ <br> $3=\mathrm{kg}$ <br> $4=$ liter <br> $5=0 x e n-$ <br> days <br> $20=o t h e r$ <br> (specify) | Est. Total Value in Birr |  |
| INPUT | VIII1 | VIII2 | VIII3 | VIII4 | VIII5 | VIII6 | VIII7 | VIII8 | VIII9 | VIII10 | VIII11 | VIII12 |
| 800 Fungicide Type/form. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 700 Storage Insecticide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

AF11 $\qquad$ Did you split the application of urea during the current season?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\text { no } \\
& 1=\text { yes }
\end{aligned}
$$

If yes, how did you split it?

AF12 $\qquad$ kgs at broadcasting

AF13 $\qquad$ kgs as top dressing
$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

Table IX. Impact of Purchased Inputs on Maize Yield and Future Input Use

| Input | Impact on yield | When did you receive this input? |  |  |  | If you had to pay for <br> this input <br> immediately (instead <br> of receiving credit), <br> would you purchase <br> it? <br> 0 Would not buy <br> 1 | Rank each ii order of importal <br> (1=most imp 6=least impc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 Improved yield/storage 2 No impact on yield/storage 3 Reduced yield/stored grain 4 Doesn't know | Month <br> 1... 12 | Week <br> 1... 4 | $$ | If late, reason why <br> 1=delay in receiving credit (specify why) $2=$ lack of cash 3=input unavailable in shops 4=other (specify) |  |  |
| INPUT | IX1 | IX2 | IX3 | IX4 | IX5 | IX6 | IX7 |
| 200 Improved seed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300 DAP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400 Urea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500 Herbicide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 800 Fungicide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 600 Field Insecticide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 700 Storage <br> Insecticide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$
Table X. FARMER ASSESSMENT OF FACTORS AFFECTING MAIZE YIELD 1993/94-97/98
Note to enumerator: For each topic, ask the farmer for his assessment of this year (96-97), last year (95-96), two years ago (94-95), three years ago (93-94), four years ago (92-93). Finally, ask what he expects the situation to be next year (97-98).

| YEAR | Total amount of rainfall received | Distribution of rainfall | Hail and frost damage | Wild animal damage | Insect infestation | Plant disease problem | Weed infestat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1=\text { excess rain } \\ & 2=\text { good rains } \\ & 3=\text { shortage of rain } \\ & 4=\text { can't recall } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1=excellent } \\ & \text { 2=good } \\ & \text { 3=poor } \\ & \text { 4=can't recall } \end{aligned}$ | 1=hail damage <br> 2=frost damage <br> 3=hail and frost damage <br> 4 =no damage <br> 5=can't recall | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1=light } \\ & \text { 2=medium } \\ & \text { 3=heavy } \\ & \text { 4=can't recall } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1=light } \\ & \text { 2=medium } \\ & \text { 3=heavy } \\ & \text { 4=can't recall } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1=light } \\ & \text { 2=medium } \\ & \text { 3=heavy } \\ & \text { 4=can't recall } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1=light } \\ & 2=\text { medium } \\ & \text { 3=heavy } \\ & \text { 4=can't recall } \end{aligned}$ |
| YEAR | X1 | X2 | X3 | X4 | X5 | X6 | X7 |
| 9697 (this season) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9495 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9394 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9293 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9798 (expectation for next season) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

## PART IV. SG2000/EXTENSION

AF14
AF15
During this season (96/97), how many times were you visited by the extension agent?
How do you view the services provided by the extension department?
Very useful
Useful
Not very useful
No comment

What are the two most important extension messages you received during this season (96/97)?
AF16
$\qquad$
AF17 $\qquad$

If you prefer to leave, why?

## CP3

Do you have additional comments about the SG2000 program or the technologies used in the program?
$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

## PART V. MARKETING/CONSUMPTION

| AF18 |  | How does the color of improved maize compare to traditional varieties? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | Prefers improved maize |
|  | 2 | Doesn't see any difference |
|  | 3 | Prefers the traditional varieties |
|  | 4 | Doesn't know |
| AF19 |  | How does the taste of improved maize compare to traditional varieties? |
|  | 1 | Prefers improved maize |
|  | 2 | No difference |
|  | 3 | Prefers the traditional varieties |
|  | 4 | Doesn't know |

What is the principal destination for the TRADITIONAL varieties of maize you produce?

| 1 | Market |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ Home consumption |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Both |

AF21 Market Home consumption 3 Both

## AF22

How does the PRICE that traders pay for improved maize compare to the price paid for traditional varieties?

Pay more for improved maize
Pay the same
Pay less for improved maize
Doesn't know
$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$
TABLE XI. MARKETING OF MAIZE

| YEAR | TOTAL <br> PRODUCTION OF <br> MAIZE |  | TOTAL <br> CONSUMPTION BY HOUSEHOLD |  | QUANTITY <br> MARKETED |  | MONTH WHEN LARGEST QTY OF MAIZE SOLD | MAIN BUYER | DISTANCE <br> TO MAIN <br> BUYER | METHOD <br> OF <br> TRANSPORT | PRICE RECEIVED |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Qty. | Unit $\begin{aligned} & 1=50 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & 2=100 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & 3=\mathrm{kg} \\ & 20=\text { other } \\ & \text { (specify) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit } \\ & \begin{array}{l} 1=50 \mathrm{~kg} \\ 2=100 \mathrm{~kg} \\ 3=\mathrm{kg} \\ 20=o t h e r \\ \text { (specify) } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Qty. | Unit $\begin{aligned} & 1=50 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & 2=100 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & 3=\mathrm{kg} \\ & 20=0 \text { other } \\ & \text { (specify) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Month } \\ & 1 . . .12 \end{aligned}$ | 1=village trader $2=$ local market 3=trader with truck $20=$ other (specify) | kms | 1=human <br> $2=$ animal <br> $3=$ motor <br> vehicle <br> 4=human <br> and <br> animal | Price (Birr) | Unit $\begin{aligned} & 1=50 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & 2=100 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & 3=\mathrm{kg} \\ & \text { 20=other } \\ & \text { (specify) } \end{aligned}$ | Opinion about price received $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1=low } \\ & \text { 2=avg. } \\ & \text { 3=high } \end{aligned}$ | Sou <br> pri <br> inf <br> $1=r$ <br> $2=r$ <br> 3=1 <br> ma: <br> e <br> $20=$ <br> (sp |
| YEAR | XI1 | XI2 | XI3 | XI4 | XI5 | XI6 | XI7 | XI8 | XI9 | XI10 | XI11 | XI12 | XI13 | XI] |
| 9596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9495 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9394 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9293 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plans for 9697 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

WORKSHEET: MAIZE FIELD ACTIVITIES

| Activity | $\quad$ Power Source <br> $1=$ Tractor <br> $2=$ Animal <br> $3=$ Human <br> anuman and <br> Animal | When was it carried out? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Month $(1,2, \ldots, 12$ or indicate that not done $)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Week (START DATE)* } \\ (\mathbf{1 , 2 , 3 , 4}) \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 Seed treatment |  |  |  |
| 2 Clearing new land |  |  |  |
| 3 Removing crop stubble |  |  |  |
| 4 Bund making |  |  |  |
| $10 \quad 1{ }^{\text {st }}$ Plowing |  |  |  |
| $11.22^{\text {nd }}$ Plowing |  |  |  |
| $123^{\text {rd }}$ 12 Plowing |  |  |  |
| $134^{\text {th }}$ Plowing |  |  |  |
| $145^{\text {th }}$ Plowing |  |  |  |
| 16 Plowing for planting/making rows |  |  |  |
| $20 \quad$ Planting seeds |  |  |  |
| 21 Planting seeds and $1^{\text {st }}$ application of fertilizer (DAP and/or Urea) AT THE SAME TIME |  |  |  |
| $30 \begin{array}{c}\text { 1st } \\ \text { st } \\ \text { and/or Urea) }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| 22 Covering seeds |  |  |  |
| 23 Trampling/leveling |  |  |  |
| 40 Application of herbicide |  |  |  |
| $41 \quad 11^{\text {st }}$ weeding |  |  |  |
| $43 \quad$ Thinning |  |  |  |
| 44 Cultivation |  |  |  |
| $31 \quad 2{ }^{\text {nd }}$ application of fertilizer (Urea) |  |  |  |
| $42 \quad 2{ }^{\text {nd }}$ weeding |  |  |  |
| $50{ }^{50} 1^{\text {st }}$ application of insecticide |  |  |  |
| $512^{\text {nd }}$ application of insecticide |  |  |  |
| $60 \quad 1{ }^{\text {st }}$ application of fungicide |  |  |  |
| $61 \quad 2^{\text {nd }}$ application of fungicide |  |  |  |

3. CURRENT SG2000 PROGRAM PARTICIPANT / MAIZE

ZON _WOR FA _____HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM

| 70 Harvest |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 80 Transport to threshing area |  |  |  |
| 91 Dehusking/shelling |  |  |  |
| 81 Transport to storage area |  |  |  |
| 100 Other (specify) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

 WEEK 3-4.

## Is the 1996/97 threshing complete?

$0=$ no
$1=$ yes

Table XII. LABOR USED IN THE TRADITIONAL MAIZE PLOT 2

$\qquad$ FA _HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM___

Table XIII. INPUTS USED IN THE TRADITIONAL MAIZE PLOT 2

3. CURRENT SG2000 PROGRAM PARTICIPANT / MAIZE $\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA _HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM

| Input | How much was used? (For animals/tractor no.days/hrs) |  | Did you paycash or inkind for thisinput? | How did you get it? | When was it <br> applied? <br> (Copy dates from <br> field worksheet) |  | How much did it cost? |  |  |  |  | Did you pay immediately after receiving the input or did you receive credit? <br> 1 immediate payment 2 Credit <br> 3 Both (indicate amt. input received on credit) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Qt | Unit <br> $1=50 \mathrm{~kg}$ <br> $2=100 \mathrm{~kg}$ <br> $3=\mathrm{kg}$ <br> $4=$ liter <br> $5=$ oxen-days <br> $6=$ tractor hours <br> $7=$ tractor ha <br> $20=$ other <br> (specify) |  | 1 SG2000/ Gov't extension program 2 <br> Trader/Market <br> 3 Rented (animals/tracto r) 4 Own/Saved 20 Other (specify) |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cash } \\ \text { (Birr) } \end{gathered}$ | In-Kind Payment |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 no (skip to <br> the next <br> input) <br> 1 yes (proceed <br> to next <br> column) |  | Month <br> $(1,2, . . .1$ <br> $2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Week } \\ & (\mathbf{1 , 2 , 3 , 4 )} \end{aligned}$ |  | Type <br> $1=$ tef <br> $2=$ maize <br>  <br> $3=$ wheat <br> $4=$ barley <br> $5=$ sorghum <br> $6==$ millet <br> pulses $7=$ <br> $8=o i l s e e d s$ <br> $20=o t h e r$ <br> (specify) | Qty. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Unit } \\ 1=50 \mathrm{~kg} \\ 2=100 \mathrm{~kg} \\ 3=\mathrm{kg} \\ \text { 4=liter } \\ \text { 5=oxen- } \\ \text { days } \\ 20=0 \text { other } \\ \text { (specify) } \end{gathered}$ | Est. Total Value in Birr |  |
| INPUT | VIIII | VIII2 | VIII3 | VIII4 | VIII5 | VIII6 | VIII7 | VIII8 | VIII9 | VIII10 | VIII11 | VIII12 |
| 80 Transport to threshing area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 91 Shelling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 81 Transport to <br> storage area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 First Plowing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 Second Plowing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Inputs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300 DAP Fertilizer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400 Urea Fertilizer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500 Herbicide Type/form. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 600 Field Insecticide Type/form. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

3. CURRENT SG2000 PROGRAM PARTICIPANT / MAIZE $\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ _FA _HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

| Input | How much was used? (For animals/tractor no.days/hrs) |  | Did you pay cash or in kind for this input? | How did you get $\mathbf{i t}$ ? | When was it applied? (Copy dates from field worksheet) |  | How much did it cost? |  |  |  |  | Did you pay immediately after receiving the input or did you receive credit? <br> 1 immediate payment 2 Credit 3 Both (indicate amt. input received on credit) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Qt | Unit <br> $1=50 \mathrm{~kg}$ <br> $2=100 \mathrm{~kg}$ <br> $3=\mathrm{kg}$ <br> $4=$ liter <br> $5=$ oxen-days <br> $6=$ tractor hours <br> $7=$ tractor ha <br> $20=$ other <br> (specify) |  | 1 SG2000/ Gov't extension program 2 Trader/Market 3 Rented (animals/tracto r) 4 Own/Saved 20 Other (specify) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cash } \\ & \text { (Birr) } \end{aligned}$ | In-Kind Payment |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 no (skip to the next input) 1 yes (proceed to next column) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Month } \\ & (1,2, \ldots 1 \\ & 2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Week } \\ & (\mathbf{1 , 2 , 3 , 4}) \end{aligned}$ |  | Type <br> 1=tef <br> $2=$ maize <br> $3=$ wheat <br> $4=$ barley <br> $5=$ sorghum <br> $6==$ millet <br> $7=$ <br> pulses <br> $8=0 . i s e e d s$ <br> $20=$ other <br> (specify) | Qty. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Unit } \\ 1=50 \mathrm{~kg} \\ 2=100 \mathrm{~kg} \\ 3=\mathrm{kg} \\ 4=\text { liter } \\ 5=0 \times \mathrm{xen}- \\ \text { days } \\ \text { 20=other } \\ \text { (specify) } \end{gathered}$ | Est. Total Value in Birr |  |
| INPUT | VIIII | VIII2 | VIII3 | VIII4 | VIII5 | VIII6 | VIII7 | VIII8 | VIII9 | VIIII0 | VIIII1 | VIIII2 |
| 800 Fungicide Type/form. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 700 Storage Insecticide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

CP5 $\qquad$ Did you split the application of urea during the current season?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{0}=\mathbf{n o} \\
& \mathbf{1}=\text { yes }
\end{aligned}
$$

If yes, how did you split it?
$\qquad$ kgs at broadcasting
$\qquad$ kgs as top dressing

# MINISTRY OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND COOPERATION <br> GRAIN MARKETING RESEARCH PROJECT <br> with the collaboration of <br> Sasakawa-Global 2000 

Survey of Input Utilization and Marketing in the Smallholder Sector - PART II October-November 1997

1. To be filled out only by farmers currently participating in the SG2000/government extension program (tef)
$\qquad$ QTYPE

| Zone |  |  | ZON |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Woreda |  | WOR |  |
| Farmer Association |  | FA |  |
| Household Number | $\square$ | HH |  |
| Name of Farmer |  |  |  |
| Enumerator |  |  |  |

In what years have you participated in the SG2000 program (mark all appropriate)? No=0 Yes=1 96/97 season (this season)?
95/96 season?


94/95 season?
93/94 season?


92/93 season?
In what years have you participated in the government extension program (mark all appropriate)? No=0 Yes=1
96/97 season (this season)?
P9697
95/96 season?
94/95 season?
93/94 season?
P9596

AF1
Household head's level of education
0
Illiterate
1,2,... 12
99
Last year of school completed
Did not attend public school, but knows how to read and write
(includes religious school)
$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM

## PART I. THE FARM

Table IV. AREA AND INPUT USE ON MAJOR CROPS IN MEHER SEASON

| YEA <br> R | CROP \#1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CROP | Area - Own Land |  | Area - Rented, Sharecropped or Borrowed Land |  | Input \#1 |  |  | Input \#2 |  |  | Input \#3 |  |  | Input \#4 |  |
|  | (use codes below) | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit } \\ & 1=\text { timad } \\ & 2=\text { kert } \\ & \text { 3=ha } \\ & \text { 4=fachasa } \\ & 20=o t h e r \\ & \text { (specify) } \end{aligned}$ | No. | Unit <br> 1=timad <br> 2=kert <br> 3=ha <br> 4=fachasa <br> 20=other <br> (specify) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & * * \\ & \text { (use codes } \\ & \text { below) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & * * \\ & \text { (use codes } \\ & \text { below) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & \text { ** } \\ & \text { (use codes } \\ & \text { below) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. | $$ | Type <br> ** <br> (use codes below) | Qty. |
| YEAR | CROP | IV1 | IV2 | IV3 | IV4 | IV5 | IV6 | IV7 | IV8 | IV9 | IV10 | IV11 | IV12 | IV13 | IV14 | IV15 |
| 9697 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9495 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9394 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9293 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * Crop Codes: . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1=\text { tef } \\ & 6=\text { millet } \end{aligned}$ | maize pulses | $\begin{aligned} & 3=\text { wheat } \\ & 8=\text { oilseeds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4=barley } \\ & \text { 20=other (sp } \end{aligned}$ | orgh <br> ify) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & * * \text { Input T } \\ & \text { 100=Seed } \\ & \mathbf{5 0 0}=\text { herbi } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 200=i \\ & 600=f \end{aligned}$ | ed seed secticide | $\begin{aligned} & 300=1 \\ & 700= \end{aligned}$ |  | ide800=fun | icide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

Table IV. AREA AND INPUT USE ON MAJOR CROPS IN MEHER SEASON (CON'T)

| YEAR | CROP \#2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CROP | Area - Own Land |  | Area - Rented, Sharecropped or Borrowed Land |  | Input \#1 |  |  | Input \#2 |  |  | Input \#3 |  |  | Input \#4 |  |
|  | (use codes below) | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit } \\ & \text { 1=timad } \\ & 2=\text { kert } \\ & \text { 3=ha } \\ & \text { 4=fachasa } \\ & \text { 20=other } \\ & \text { (specify) } \end{aligned}$ | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit } \\ & 1=\text { timad } \\ & 2=\text { kert } \\ & 3=\text { ha } \\ & 4=\text { fachasa } \\ & 20=o t h e r \\ & \text { (specify) } \end{aligned}$ | Type <br> (use codes below) | Qty. | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & * * \\ & \text { (use codes } \\ & \text { below) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & \text { ** } \\ & \text { (use codes } \\ & \text { below) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. | $$ | Type <br> ** <br> (use codes below) | Qty. |
| YEAR | CROP | IV1 | IV2 | IV3 | IV4 | IV5 | IV6 | IV7 | IV8 | IV9 | IV10 | IV11 | IV12 | IV13 | IV14 | IV15 |
| 9697 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9495 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9394 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9293 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * Crop Codes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1=\text { tef } \\ & 6=\text { millet } \end{aligned}$ | naize <br> pulses | $\begin{aligned} & 3=\text { wheat } \\ & 8=\text { oilseeds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4=barley } \\ & 20=\text { other (sp } \end{aligned}$ | orghu <br> fy) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ** Input T $100=$ Seed 500=herbi | Codes tment |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 200=\mathrm{in} \\ & 600=\mathrm{fi} \end{aligned}$ | ed seed ecticide | $\begin{aligned} & 300= \\ & \mathbf{7 0 0}= \end{aligned}$ |  | $\text { ide } 800=\text { fun }$ | icide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

Table IV. AREA AND INPUT USE ON MAJOR CROPS IN MEHER SEASON (CON’T)

| YEAR | CROP \#3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CROP | Area - Own Land |  | Area - Rented, Sharecropped or Borrowed Land |  | Input \#1 |  |  | Input \#2 |  |  | Input \#3 |  |  | Input \#4 |  |
|  | (use codes below) | No. | Unit <br> 1=timad <br> 2=kert <br> 3=ha <br> 4=fachasa <br> 20=other <br> (specify) | No. | Unit <br> 1=timad <br> 2=kert <br> 3=ha <br> 4=fachasa <br> 20=other <br> (specify) | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Type } \\ * * \\ \text { (use codes } \\ \text { below) } \end{array}$ | Qty. | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & * * \\ & \text { (use codes } \\ & \text { below) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & * * \\ & \text { (use codes } \\ & \text { below) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & * * \\ & \text { (use codes } \\ & \text { below) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. |
| YEAR | CROP | IV1 | IV2 | IV3 | IV4 | IV5 | IV6 | IV7 | IV8 | IV9 | IV10 | IV11 | IV12 | IV13 | IV14 | IV15 |
| 9697 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9495 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9394 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9293 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * Crop Codes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1=\text { tef } \\ & 6=\text { millet } \end{aligned}$ | maize pulses | $\begin{aligned} & 3=\text { wheat } \\ & 8=\text { oilseeds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4=barley } 5 \\ & 20=\text { other (spe } \end{aligned}$ | orghu <br> y) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ** Input Ty } \\ & \text { 100=Seed t } \\ & \mathbf{5 0 0}=\text { herbic } \end{aligned}$ | Codes atment |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 200=i \\ & 600=f i \end{aligned}$ | ed seed ecticide | $\begin{aligned} & 300=1 \\ & 700= \end{aligned}$ | AP <br> orage | cide800=fun | icide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\qquad$ HH QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM

Table IV. AREA AND INPUT USE ON MAJOR CROPS IN MEHER SEASON

| YEAR | CROP \#4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CROP | Area - Own Land |  | Area - Rented, Sharecropped or Borrowed Land |  | Input \#1 |  |  | Input \#2 |  |  | Input \#3 |  |  | Input \#4 |  |
|  | (use codes below) | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit } \\ & 1=\text { timad } \\ & 2=\text { kert } \\ & \text { 3=ha } \\ & \text { 4=fachasa } \\ & 20=\text { other } \\ & \text { (specify) } \end{aligned}$ | No. | Unit <br> 1=timad <br> 2=kert <br> 3=ha <br> 4=fachasa <br> 20=other <br> (specify) | Type <br> ** <br> (use codes below) | Qty. | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & * * \\ & \text { (use codes } \\ & \text { below) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & \text { ** } \\ & \text { (use codes } \\ & \text { below) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & * * \\ & \text { (use codes } \\ & \text { below) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. |
| YEAR | CROP | IV1 | IV2 | IV3 | IV4 | IV5 | IV6 | IV7 | IV8 | IV9 | IV10 | IV11 | IV12 | IV13 | IV14 | IV15 |
| 9697 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9495 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9394 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9293 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * Crop Codes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1=\text { tef } \\ & 6=\text { millet } \end{aligned}$ | maize pulses | $\begin{aligned} & 3=\text { wheat } \\ & 8=\text { oilseeds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4=barley } 5 \\ & 20=\text { other (sp } \end{aligned}$ | orghu <br> fy) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & * * \text { Input Ty } \\ & \text { 100=Seed } \mathrm{t} \\ & \text { 500=herbic } \end{aligned}$ | Codes atment e |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 200=i \\ & 600=f i \end{aligned}$ | ed seed ecticide | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 0 0}= \\ & \mathbf{7 0 0}= \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AP } 40 \\ & \text { orage } \end{aligned}$ | cide800=fun | icide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

Table IV. AREA AND INPUT USE ON MAJOR CROPS IN MEHER SEASON (CON'T)

| YEAR | CROP \#5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CROP | Area - Own Land |  | Area - Rented, Sharecropped or Borrowed Land |  | Input \#1 |  |  | Input \#2 |  |  | Input \#3 |  |  | Input \#4 |  |
|  | (use codes below) | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit } \\ & \text { 1=timad } \\ & 2=\text { kert } \\ & \text { 3=ha } \\ & \text { 4=fachasa } \\ & \text { 20=other } \\ & \text { (specify) } \end{aligned}$ | No. | Unit 1=timad 2=kert 3=ha 4=fachasa 20=other (specify) | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Type } \\ * * \\ \text { (use codes } \\ \text { below) } \end{array}$ | Qty. | $,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & \text { ** } \\ & \text { (use codes } \\ & \text { below) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & * * \\ & \text { (use codes } \\ & \text { below) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & \text { ** } \\ & \text { (use codes } \\ & \text { below) } \end{aligned}$ | Qty. |
| YEAR | CROP | IV1 | IV2 | IV3 | IV4 | IV5 | IV6 | IV7 | IV8 | IV9 | IV10 | IV11 | IV12 | IV13 | IV14 | IV15 |
| 9697 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9495 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9394 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9293 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * Crop Codes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1=\text { tef } \\ & 6=\text { millet } \end{aligned}$ | maize pulses | $\begin{aligned} & 3=\text { wheat } \\ & 8=\text { oilseeds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4=barley } \\ & 20=\text { other }(\mathrm{sp} \end{aligned}$ | sorgh <br> ify) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ** Input Ty $100=$ Seed 500=herbic | Codes atment |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 200=i \\ & 600=f \end{aligned}$ | ed seed ecticide | $\begin{aligned} & 300=1 \\ & 700=s \end{aligned}$ | $\text { AP } 4$ | $\text { cide } 800=\text { fun }$ | icide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

Table IV. AREA AND INPUT USE ON MAJOR CROPS IN MEHER SEASON (CON’T)

| YEAR | FALLOW/GRAZING AREA |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CROP/ <br> LAND <br> USE type | Area - Own Land |  | Area - Rented, Sharecropped or Borrowed Land |  |
|  | * <br> (use codes below) | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit } \\ & 1=\text { timad } \\ & 2=\text { kert } \\ & 3=\text { ha } \\ & \text { 4=fachasa } \\ & 20=o t h e r \\ & \text { (specify) } \end{aligned}$ | No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit } \\ & \text { 1=timad } \\ & 2=\text { kert } \\ & 3=\text { ha } \\ & 4=\text { fachasa } \\ & 20=\text { other } \end{aligned}$ |
| YEAR | CROP | IV1 | IV2 | IV3 | IV4 |
| 9697 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| 9596 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| 9495 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| 9394 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| 9293 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| * Crop Codes: |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1=\text { tef } \\ & 6=\text { millet } \end{aligned}$ | maize | $\begin{aligned} & 3=\text { wheat } \\ & 8=\text { oilseeds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4=barley } \\ & \text { 11=fallow } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5=\text { sorgh } \\ & 20=\text { othe } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $100=$ Seed treatment 500=herbicide |  |  |  | 200=improved seed $600=$ field insecticide |  |

[^3]$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

AF2
Has the total area (owned, rented, sharecropped or borrowed) planted to tef changed between 1992-93 and the current season?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\text { no change } \\
& 1=\text { area planted to tef has increased slightly } \\
& 2 \text { = area planted to tef has increased significantly } \\
& 3=\text { area planted to tef has decreased slightly } \\
& 4=\text { area planted to tef has decreased significantly }
\end{aligned}
$$

If there was a change in tef area between 1992-93, give the three most important reasons for the area increase/decrease in order of importance:

AF3 $\qquad$

AF4 $\qquad$

AF5 $\qquad$

AF6 $\qquad$ Do you plan to increase, decrease or maintain the same area planted to tef during the 1997-98 season (next season?)
$0=$ no change
$1=$ will slightly increase area planted to tef
$2=$ will increase area planted to tef significantly
$3=$ will slightly decrease area planted to tef
$4=$ will decrease area planted to tef significantly
If you plan to increase or decrease the area planted to tef next season, give the three most important reasons why:

## AF7

$\qquad$

AF8 $\qquad$
AF9
$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

Table V. LIVESTOCK HOLDINGS

| YEAR | LIVESTOCK 1 |  | LIVESTOCK 2 |  | LIVESTOCK 3 |  | LIVESTOCK 4 |  | LIVESTOCK 5 |  | LIVESTOCK 6 |  | LIVESTOCK 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type <br> * <br> (use codes <br> below) | No. | Type <br> (use codes <br> below) | No. | Type <br> (use codes <br> below) | No. | Type <br> * <br> (use codes <br> below) | No. | Type <br> * (use codes below) | No. | Type <br> * <br> (use codes below) | No. | Type <br> * (use codes below) | No |
| YEAR | LIVE | No | LIVE | NO | LIVE | NO | LIVE | NO | LIVE | No | LIVE | NO | LIVE | NC |
| 9697 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9495 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9394 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9293 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## * Livestock type codes

[^4]2= steers 3= cows/heifers
6= donkeys

PART II. THE HOUSEHOLD
Table VI. DEMOGRAPHIC DATA ABOUT THE HOUSEHOLD*

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

## NOTES FOR ENUMERATORS

* The HOUSEHOLD is defined as persons living in the same compound who regularly eat together.
** AGE variable

1. Enumerators should first ask household helds for the exact age of household members in years.
2. The age of children less than 1 year of age (e.g., 3 months) should be recorded as "1."
3. If household heads cannot recall the exact age of household members, prompt for the birth year using the following list of significant historical events:
4. If household heads still cannot recall the birth year, as a last resort categorize the age of family members as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 101=<7 \text { years of age } \\
& 102=(>=7,<=8) \\
& 103=(>=9,<=12) \\
& 104=(>=13,<=15) \\
& 105=(>=16,<=54) \\
& 106=(>=55)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

WORKSHEET: TEF FIELD ACTIVITIES

| Activity | Power Source$\begin{aligned} & \text { 1=Tractor } \\ & \text { 2=Animal } \\ & \text { 3=Human } \\ & \text { 4=Human and } \\ & \text { Animal } \end{aligned}$ | When was it carried out? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Month <br> $(1,2, \ldots, 12$ or indicate that not done) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Week (START DATE)* } \\ (\mathbf{1 , 2 , 3 , 4}) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2 Clearing New Land |  |  |  |
| 3 Removing Crop Stubble |  |  |  |
| $10 \quad 11^{\text {st }}$ Plowing |  |  |  |
| $11{ }^{11} \quad 22^{\text {nd }}$ Plowing |  |  |  |
| 12 3 $3^{\text {rd }}$ Plowing |  |  |  |
| 13 4 $4^{\text {th }}$ Plowing |  |  |  |
| $145^{\text {th }}$ Plowing |  |  |  |
| $15 \quad 6{ }^{\text {th }}$ Plowing |  |  |  |
| 20 Broadcasting seeds |  |  |  |
| 21 Broadcasting seeds and $1^{\text {st }}$ application of fertilizer (DAP and/or Urea) AT THE SAME TIME |  |  |  |
| $30 \quad 1^{\text {st }}$ application of fertilizer (DAP and/or Urea) |  |  |  |
| 23 Trampling/leveling |  |  |  |
| 40 Application of herbicide |  |  |  |
| $41 \quad 11^{\text {st }}$ weeding |  |  |  |
| $312^{\text {nd }}$ application of fertilizer (Urea) |  |  |  |
| $42 \quad 2^{\text {nd }}$ weeding |  |  |  |
| $50 \quad 1{ }^{\text {st }}$ application of insecticide |  |  |  |
| 51 $\quad 22^{\text {nd }}$ application of insecticide |  |  |  |
| 70 Harvest |  |  |  |
| $80 \quad$ Transport to threshing area |  |  |  |
| 90 Threshing and winnowing |  |  |  |
| 81 Transport to storage area |  |  |  |
| 100 Other (specify) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

* Enumerators should try to get the farmer to recall the specific WEEK in which the activity was carried out. If the farmer cannot remember the week, prompt for a 2-week period and record this as e.g., WEEK 1-2, WEEK 3-4.

AF10
Is the 1996/97 threshing complete?
$0=$ no $1=y e s$
Table VII. LABOR USED IN THE SG2000/GOV'T EXTENSION PROGRAM TEF PLOT $\qquad$
$\qquad$ _WOR $\qquad$ _FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM

$\qquad$
$\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

Table VIII. INPUTS USED IN THE SG2000/GOV'T EXTENSION PROGRAM TEF PLOT 1

| Input | How much was used? (For animals/tractor no.days/hrs) |  | Did you pay cash or in kind for this input? | How did you <br> get it? <br> 1 SG2000/ | When was it applied? <br> (Copy dates from field worksheet) |  | How much did it cost? |  |  |  |  |  <br> Did you pay <br> immediately <br> after <br> receiving <br> the input or <br> did you <br> receive <br> credit? <br> 1 immediate <br> payment <br> 2 Credit <br> 3 Both <br> (indicate <br> amt. input <br> received on <br> credit) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Qt | Unit <br> $1=50 \mathrm{~kg}$ <br> $2=100 \mathrm{~kg}$ <br> $3=\mathrm{kg}$ <br> $4=$ liter <br> $5=0 \times 2$-days <br> $6=$ tractor hours <br> $7=$ tractor ha <br> $20=$ other <br> (specify) |  |  |  |  | Cash (Birr) | In-Kind Payment |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 no (skip to the next input) 1 yes (proceed to next column) | 1 SG2000/ <br> Gov't extension program <br> 2 <br> Trader/Market <br> 3 Rented (animals/tracto <br> r) <br> 4 Own/Saved <br> 20 Other <br> (specify) | Month (1,2,... 1 2) | Week $(1,2,3,4)$ |  | Type <br> 1=tef <br> 2=maize <br> 3=wheat <br> 4=barley <br> 5=sorghum <br> 6==millet <br> pulses $7=$ <br> 8=oilseeds <br> 20=other <br> (specify) | Qty. | $\quad$ Unit <br> $1=50 \mathrm{~kg}$ <br> $2=100 \mathrm{~kg}$ <br> $3=\mathrm{kg}$ <br> $4=$ liter <br> $5=$ oxen- <br> days <br> $20=$ other <br> (specify) | Est. Total Value in Birr |  |
| INPUT | VIII1 | VIII2 | VIII3 | VIII4 | VIII5 | VIII6 | VIII7 | VIII8 | VIII9 | VIII10 | VIII11 | VIII12 |
| 100 Seed Treatment <br> Type $\qquad$ <br> Type $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200 Seed Variety (ies) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Animal Traction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 First Plowing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 Second Plowing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 Third Plowing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 Fourth Plowing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 Fifth Plowing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 Sixth Plowing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 Trampling/leveling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80 Transport to threshing area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

| Input | How much was used? (For animals/tractor no.days/hrs) |  | Did you pay cash or in kind for this input? | How did you get it? | When was it applied? (Copy dates from field worksheet) |  | How much did it cost? |  |  |  |  | Did you pay immediately after receiving the input or did you receive credit? <br> 1 immediate payment 2 Credit 3 Both (indicate amt. input received on credit) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Qt | Unit <br> $1=50 \mathrm{~kg}$ <br> $2=100 \mathrm{~kg}$ <br> $3=\mathrm{kg}$ <br> $4=$ liter <br> $5=0 \times e n-$ days <br> $6=$ tractor hours <br> $7=$ tractor ha <br> $20=$ other <br> (specify) |  |  <br> 1 SG2000/ <br> Gov't extension <br> program <br> 2 <br> Trader/Market <br> 3 Rented <br> (animals/tracto <br> r) <br> 4 Own/Saved <br> 20 Other <br> (specify) |  |  | Cash (Birr) | In-Kind Payment |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 no (skip to the next input) 1 yes (proceed to next column) |  | Month (1,2,...1 <br> 2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Week } \\ & (1,2,3,4) \end{aligned}$ |  | Type <br> 1=tef <br> 2=maize <br>  <br> $3=$ wheat <br> 4=barley <br> $5=$ sorghum <br> $6==$ millet <br> $\quad 7=$ <br> pulses <br> $8=0$ oilseeds <br> 20=other <br> (specify) | Qty. | $$ | Est. Total Value in Birr |  |
| INPUT | VIII1 | VIII2 | VIII3 | VIII4 | VIII5 | VIII6 | VIII7 | VIII8 | VIII9 | VIII10 | VIII11 | VIII12 |
| 81 Transport to storage area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

| Input | How much was used? (For animals/tractor no.days/hrs) |  | Did you pay cash or in kind for this input? | How did you get it? | When was it applied? (Copy dates from field worksheet) |  | How much did it cost? |  |  |  |  | Did you pay immediately after receiving the input or did you receive credit? <br> 1 immediate payment 2 Credit 3 Both (indicate amt. input received on credit) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Qt | $$ |  |  |  |  | Cash (Birr) | In-Kind Payment |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 no (skip to the next input) 1 yes (proceed to next column) | 1 SG2000/ <br> Gov't extension <br> program <br> 2 <br> Trader/Market <br> 3 Rented <br> (animals/tracto <br> r) <br> 4 Own/Saved <br> 20 Other <br> (specify) | Month $(1,2, \ldots 1$ <br> 2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Week } \\ & (1,2,3,4) \end{aligned}$ |  | Type <br> 1=tef <br> 2=maize <br>  <br> $3=$ wheat <br> 4=barley <br> 5=sorghum <br> $6==$ millet <br> $\quad 7=$ <br> pulses <br> $8=0$ oilseeds <br> 20=other <br> (specify) | Qty. | $$ | Est. Total Value in Birr |  |
| INPUT | VIII1 | VIII2 | VIII3 | VIII4 | VIII5 | VIII6 | VIII7 | VIII8 | VIII9 | VIII10 | VIII11 | VIII12 |
| Tractor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 First Plowing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 Second Plowing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Inputs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300 DAP Fertilizer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400 Urea Fertilizer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500 Herbicide Type/form. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 600 Field Insecticide Type/form. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM

AF11 $\qquad$ Did you split the application of urea during the current season?

$$
0=\text { no }
$$

$$
1=\text { yes }
$$

If yes, how did you split it?
AF13 _ kgs as top dressing
$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

Table IX. Impact of Purchased Inputs on Tef Yield and Future Input Use

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

## Table X. FARMER ASSESSMENT OF FACTORS AFFECTING TEF YIELD 1993/94-97/98

Note to enumerator: For each topic, ask the farmer for his assessment of this year (96-97), last year (95-96), two years ago (94-95), three years ago (93-94), four years ago (92-93). Finally, ask what he expects the situation to be next year (97-98).

| YEAR | Total amount of rainfall received | Distribution of rainfall | Hail and frost damage | Wild animal damage | Insect infestation | Plant disease problem | Weed infestatio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1=excess rain <br> 2=good rains <br> $3=$ shortage of rain <br> 4=can't recall | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1=excellent } \\ & \text { 2=good } \\ & \text { 3=poor } \\ & \text { 4=can't recall } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { 1=hail damage } \\ \text { 2=frost damage } \\ \text { 3=hail and frost damage } \\ \text { 4=no damage } \\ \text { 5=can't recall } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1=light } \\ & \text { 2=medium } \\ & \text { 3=heavy } \\ & \text { 4=can't recall } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1=light } \\ & \text { 2=medium } \\ & \text { 3=heavy } \\ & \text { 4=can't recall } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1=light } \\ & \text { 2=medium } \\ & \text { 3=heavy } \\ & \text { 4=can't recall } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1=light } \\ & \text { 2=medium } \\ & \text { 3=heavy } \\ & \text { 4=can't recall } \end{aligned}$ |
| YEAR | X1 | X2 | X3 | X4 | X5 | X6 | X7 |
| 9697 (this season) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9495 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9394 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9293 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9798 (expectation for next season) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

## PART IV. EXTENSION

AF14
AF15 1

2
3
4

During this season (96/97), how many times were you visited by the extension agent?

How do you view the services provided by the extension department?
Very useful
Useful
Not very useful
No comment

What are the two most important extension messages you received during this season (96/97)?
AF16 $\qquad$
$\qquad$

AF17 $\qquad$
$\qquad$

If the SG2000/government extension program continues next year, would you like to participate or do you prefer to leave the program?

1
Would like to participate

Prefers to leave

If you prefer to leave, why?
CP2
2

Do you have additional comments about the SG2000/government extension program or the technologies used in the program?

## PART V. MARKETING/CONSUMPTION

| AF18 | How does the color of improved tef compare to traditional varieties? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 2 | Prefers improved tef |
| 3 | Doesn't see any difference |
| 4 | Prefers the traditional varieties |
|  | Doesn't know |

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

AF19

AF20

1 2

Home consumption

Market
Home consumption
Both
Both

How does the taste of improved tef compare to traditional varieties?
Prefers improved tef
No difference
Prefers the traditional varieties
Doesn't know
What is the principal destination for the TRADITIONAL varieties of tef you produce?

What is the principal destination for the improved varieties of tef you produce?

How does the PRICE that traders pay for improved tef compare to the price paid for traditional varieties?

Pay more for improved tef Pay the same
Pay less for improved tef Doesn't know
$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

TABLE XI. MARKETING OF TEF

| YEAR | TOTAL <br> PRODUCTION OF TEF |  | TOTAL <br> CONSUMPTION BY HOUSEHOLD |  | QUANTITY <br> MARKETED |  | MONTH WHEN LARGEST QTY OF TEF SOLD | MAIN BUYER | DISTANCE TO MAIN BUYER | METHOD <br> OF <br> TRANSPORT | PRICE RECEIVED |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Qty. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit } \\ & \begin{array}{l} 1=50 \mathrm{~kg} \\ 2=100 \mathrm{~kg} \\ 3=\mathrm{kg} \\ 20=o t h e r \\ \text { (specify) } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Qty. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit } \\ & \begin{array}{l} 1=50 \mathrm{~kg} \\ 2=100 \mathrm{~kg} \\ 3=\mathrm{kg} \\ 20=0 \text { other } \\ \text { (specify) } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Qty. | Unit $\begin{aligned} & 1=50 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & 2=100 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & 3=\mathrm{kg} \\ & \text { 20=other } \\ & \text { (specify) } \end{aligned}$ | Month $\text { 1... } 12$ | 1=village trader $2=$ local market 3=trader with truck $20=$ other (specify) | kms | 1=human <br> $2=$ animal <br> 3=motor <br> vehicle <br> 4=human <br> and <br> animal | Price (Birr) | Unit <br> $1=50 \mathrm{~kg}$ <br> $2=100 \mathrm{~kg}$ <br> $3=\mathrm{kg}$ <br> 20=other <br> (specify) | Opinion about price received $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1=low } \\ & \text { 2=avg. } \\ & \text { 3=high } \end{aligned}$ | Sou <br> pri <br> inf <br> 1=r <br> $2=r$ <br> 3=1 <br> ma <br> e <br> $20=$ <br> ( sp |
| YEAR | XI1 | XI2 | XI3 | XI4 | XI5 | XI6 | XI7 | XI8 | XI9 | XI10 | XI11 | XI12 | XI13 | XI] |
| 9596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9495 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9394 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9293 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plans <br> for 9697 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

## WORKSHEET: TEF FIELD ACTIVITIES

| Activity | Power Source$\begin{aligned} & \text { 1=Tractor } \\ & \text { 2=Animal } \\ & 3=\text { Human } \\ & \text { 4=Human and } \\ & \text { Animal } \end{aligned}$ | When was it carried out? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Month $(1,2, \ldots, 12$ or indicate that not done $)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Week (START DATE)* } \\ & (1,2,3,4) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 Clearing New Land |  |  |  |
| 3 Removing Crop Stubble |  |  |  |
| $10 \quad 1{ }^{\text {st }}$ Plowing |  |  |  |
| $11 \quad 22^{\text {nd }}$ Plowing |  |  |  |
| 12 3 $3^{\text {rd }}$ Plowing |  |  |  |
| 13 4 $4^{\text {th }}$ Plowing |  |  |  |
| $145^{\text {5 }}$ th Plowing |  |  |  |
| $15 \quad 6{ }^{\text {th }}$ Plowing |  |  |  |
| 20 Broadcasting seeds |  |  |  |
| 21 Broadcasting seeds and $1^{\text {st }}$ application of fertilizer (DAP and/or Urea) AT THE SAME TIME |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|\|l} 30 \quad 1^{\text {st }} \text { application of fertilizer (DAP } \\ \text { and/or Urea) } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| 23 Trampling/leveling |  |  |  |
| 40 Application of herbicide |  |  |  |
| $411^{\text {4t }}$ weeding |  |  |  |
| 31 $2^{\text {nd }}$ application of fertilizer (Urea) |  |  |  |
| 42 $\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }}$ weeding |  |  |  |
| $50 \quad 11^{\text {st }}$ application of insecticide |  |  |  |
| $51 \quad 22^{\text {nd }}$ application of insecticide |  |  |  |
| 70 Harvest |  |  |  |
| 80 Transport to threshing area |  |  |  |
| 90 Threshing and winnowing |  |  |  |
| 81 Transport to storage area |  |  |  |
| 100 Other (specify) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

* Enumerators should try to get the farmer to recall the specific WEEK in which the activity was carried out. If the farmer cannot remember the week, prompt for a 2 -week period and record this as e.g., WEEK 1-2, WEEK 3-4.

[^5]$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM

Table XII. LABOR USED IN THE TRADITIONAL TEF PLOT 2

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

Table XIII. INPUTS USED IN THE TRADITIONAL TEF PLOT $\mathbf{2}$

| Input | How much was used? (For animals/tractor no.days/hrs) |  | Did you pay cash or in kind for this input? | How did you <br> get it? <br> SG2000/ | When was it applied? <br> (Copy dates from field worksheet) |  | How much did it cost? |  |  |  |  |  <br> Did you pay <br> immediately <br> after <br> receiving <br> the input or <br> did you <br> receive <br> credit? <br> 1 immediate <br> payment <br> 2 Credit <br> 3 Both <br> (indicate <br> amt. input <br> received on <br> credit) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Qt | Unit <br> $1=50 \mathrm{~kg}$ <br> $2=100 \mathrm{~kg}$ <br> $3=\mathrm{kg}$ <br> $4=$ liter <br> $5=$ <br> 6=oxen-days <br> $6=$ tractor hours <br> $7=$ tractor ha <br> 20= other <br> (specify) |  |  |  |  | Cash (Birr) | In-Kind Payment |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 no (skip to the next input) 1 yes (proceed to next column) | 1 SG2000/ <br> Gov't extension program <br> 2 <br> Trader/Market <br> 3 Rented (animals/tracto <br> r) <br> 4 Own/Saved <br> 20 Other <br> (specify) | Month (1,2,... 1 2) | Week $(1,2,3,4)$ |  | Type <br> 1=tef <br> 2=maize <br> 3=wheat <br> 4=barley <br> 5=sorghum <br> 6==millet <br> pulses $7=$ <br> 8=oilseeds <br> 20=other <br> (specify) | Qty. | $\quad$ Unit <br> $1=50 \mathrm{~kg}$ <br> $2=100 \mathrm{~kg}$ <br> $3=\mathrm{kg}$ <br> $4=$ liter <br> $5=$ oxen- <br> days <br> $20=$ other <br> (specify) | Est. Total Value in Birr |  |
| INPUT | VIII1 | VIII2 | VIII3 | VIII4 | VIII5 | VIII6 | VIII7 | VIII8 | VIII9 | VIII10 | VIII11 | VIII12 |
| 100 Seed Treatment <br> Type $\qquad$ <br> Type $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200 Seed Variety (ies) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Animal Traction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 First Plowing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 Second Plowing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 Third Plowing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 Fourth Plowing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 Fifth Plowing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 Sixth Plowing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 Trampling/leveling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80 Transport to threshing area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

| Input | How much was used? (For animals/tractor no.days/hrs) |  | Did you pay cash or in kind for this input? | How did you get it? | When was it applied? (Copy dates from field worksheet) |  | How much did it cost? |  |  |  |  | Did you pay immediately after receiving the input or did you receive credit? <br> 1 immediate payment 2 Credit 3 Both (indicate amt. input received on credit) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Qt | Unit <br> $1=50 \mathrm{~kg}$ <br> $2=100 \mathrm{~kg}$ <br> $3=\mathrm{kg}$ <br> $4=$ liter <br> $5=0 \times e n-$ days <br> $6=$ tractor hours <br> $7=$ tractor ha <br> $20=$ other <br> (specify) |  |  <br> 1 SG2000/ <br> Gov't extension <br> program <br> 2 <br> Trader/Market <br> 3 Rented <br> (animals/tracto <br> r) <br> 4 Own/Saved <br> 20 Other <br> (specify) |  |  | Cash (Birr) | In-Kind Payment |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 no (skip to the next input) 1 yes (proceed to next column) |  | Month (1,2,...1 <br> 2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Week } \\ & (1,2,3,4) \end{aligned}$ |  | Type <br> 1=tef <br> 2=maize <br>  <br> $3=$ wheat <br> 4=barley <br> $5=$ sorghum <br> $6==$ millet <br> $\quad 7=$ <br> pulses <br> $8=0$ oilseeds <br> 20=other <br> (specify) | Qty. | $$ | Est. Total Value in Birr |  |
| INPUT | VIII1 | VIII2 | VIII3 | VIII4 | VIII5 | VIII6 | VIII7 | VIII8 | VIII9 | VIII10 | VIII11 | VIII12 |
| 81 Transport to storage area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$ ENUM $\qquad$

| Input | How much was used? (For animals/tractor no.days/hrs) |  | Did you pay cash or in kind for this input? | How did you get it? | When was it applied? (Copy dates from field worksheet) |  | How much did it cost? |  |  |  |  | Did you pay immediately after receiving the input or did you receive credit? <br> 1 immediate payment 2 Credit 3 Both (indicate amt. input received on credit) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Qt | $$ |  |  |  |  | Cash (Birr) | In-Kind Payment |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 no (skip to the next input) 1 yes (proceed to next column) | 1 SG2000/ <br> Gov't extension <br> program <br> 2 <br> Trader/Market <br> 3 Rented <br> (animals/tracto <br> r) <br> 4 Own/Saved <br> 20 Other <br> (specify) | Month $(1,2, \ldots 1$ <br> 2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Week } \\ & (1,2,3,4) \end{aligned}$ |  | Type <br> 1=tef <br> 2=maize <br>  <br> $3=$ wheat <br> 4=barley <br> 5=sorghum <br> $6==$ millet <br> $\quad 7=$ <br> pulses <br> $8=0$ oilseeds <br> 20=other <br> (specify) | Qty. | $$ | Est. Total Value in Birr |  |
| INPUT | VIII1 | VIII2 | VIII3 | VIII4 | VIII5 | VIII6 | VIII7 | VIII8 | VIII9 | VIII10 | VIII11 | VIII12 |
| Tractor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 First Plowing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 Second Plowing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Inputs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300 DAP Fertilizer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400 Urea Fertilizer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500 Herbicide Type/form. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 600 Field Insecticide Type/form. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\qquad$ WOR $\qquad$ FA $\qquad$ HH $\qquad$ QTYPE $\qquad$

CP5 $\qquad$ Did you split the application of urea during the current season?

$$
\mathbf{0}=\mathbf{n o}
$$

$$
1=\text { yes }
$$

If yes, how did you split it?
CP6 _ kgs at broadcasting
CP7 _ kgs as top dressing

## APPENDIX 3: FINANCIAL BUDGETS

Table 27. Summary of Farm Level Enterprise Budgets for Maize (West Shoa), by Program Type

| Budget Item | MOA/SG | Graduate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n used in calculations ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 92 | 57 |
| 1. GRAIN YIELD ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (kg/ha) | 5554 | 4803 |
| 1.A. January 1998 adjusted yield | 5337 | 4616 |
| 1.B. April-May 1998 adjusted yield | 4979 | 4305 |
| 1.C. August 1998 adjusted yield | 4643 | 4016 |
| 1.D. Aug. 1998, if storage losses decline by $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | 5081 | 4394 |
| 2. EST. FARMGATE PRICE ${ }^{\text {c (birr/kg) }}$ |  |  |
| 2.A. January 1998 | 0.69 | 0.69 |
| 2.B. April-May 1998 | 0.72 | 0.72 |
| 2.F. August 1998 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| 3. GROSS REVENUE ${ }^{\text {d }}$ (birr/ha) |  |  |
| 3.A. Jan. Sale | 2781.0 | 2702.4 |
| 3.B. Apr.-May Sale ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 2577.7 | 2521.0 |
| 3.C. Aug. Sale ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 3010.9 | 2890.1 |
| 3.D. Aug. Sale, if storage losses decline by $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | 3322.0 | 3159.2 |
| 4. PACKAGE COSTS ${ }^{\text {g }}$ (birr/ha) | 657 | 295 |
| 4.A. Seed | 136 | 93 |
| 4.B. DAP | 260 | 109 |
| 4.C. Urea | 260 | 92 |
| 4.D. Herbicide | 1 | 1 |
| 4.E. Insecticide | 0 | 0 |
| 4.F. Fungicide | 0 | 0 |
| 5. INTEREST |  |  |
| 5.A. January 1998 ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 0 | 15.9 |
| 5.B. Apr.-May 1998 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 0 | 21.4 |
| 5.C. August 1998 ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | 0 | 27.0 |
| 6. LABOR |  |  |
| 6.A. Total family/mutual labor days(adult equiv. days/ha) ${ }^{k}$ | 158 | 206 |
| 6.B. Total wage labor (birr/ha) ${ }^{1}$ | 123 | 77 |
| 7. ANIMAL TRACTION COST $^{\mathrm{m}}$ (birr/ha) | 93 | 74 |
| 8. HAND TOOLS AND SACKS (birr/ha) | 28.7 | 20.5 |
| 8.A. Hand tools ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| 8.B. Sacks ${ }^{0}$ | 27.1 | 19.0 |
| 9. NET INCOME/HA |  |  |
| 9.A. Jan. Sale ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | 2781.0 | 2702.4 |
| 9.B. Apr.-May Sales ${ }^{\text {q }}$ | 2577.7 | 2521.0 |
| 9.C. Aug. Sale ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 3010.9 | 2890.1 |
| 9.D. Aug. Sale, if storage losses decline by $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | 3322.0 | 3159.2 |
| 9.E. Jan. Sale, 25\% Output Price Decline | 1860.3 | 1906.2 |
| 9.F. Jan. Sale, 50\% Output Price Decline | 939.6 | 1110.0 |
| 10. NET INCOME/FAMILY AND MUTUAL LABOR DAY |  |  |
| 10.A. Jan. Sale ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 17.6 | 13.1 |
| 10.B. Apr.-May Sale ${ }^{\text {t }}$ | 16.3 | 12.2 |
| 10.C. Aug. Sale ${ }^{\text {u }}$ | 19.1 | 14.0 |
| 10.D. Aug. Sale, if storage losses decline by $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | 21.0 | 15.3 |
| 10.E. Jan. Sale, 25\% Output Price Decline | 11.8 | 9.3 |
| 10.F. Jan. Sale, 50\% Output Price Decline | 5.9 | 5.4 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ No traditional plots from West Shoa were included in the survey.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Source: crop cut estimates, GMRP/MSU/AAU/MOA/SG2000 Survey. Assumes no grain or straw lost during shelling. Assumes maize was harvested in November and storage losses are $\mathbf{1 . 9 8 \%}$ per month, the average of estimates from Abraham et al. 1993. 1.D. scenario assumes that storage insecticide is used and grain losses are halved.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Source: EGTE price monitoring unit and GMRP/MSU/AAU/MOA/SG2000 Survey. Local market prices collected by EGTE are adjusted to farmgate prices using survey data on prices reported by farmers. Prices are average prices for white maize during January 1998, average April-May 1998, and August 1998.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Grain yield* grain price.
${ }^{\text {e }}$ Adjusted as follows: if the farmer sold maizein January rather than April-May, it is assumed that earnings from the January sale would have been reinvested and earned the same rate of interest as the government program loan ( $10 \% /$ year ). The adjusted gross revenue is calculated by deducting the compounded earnings druing the February-April/May period from the gross revenue.
${ }^{\text {f }}$ Adjusted as follows: if the farmer sold maize in January rather than August, it is assumed that earnings from the January sale would have been reinvested and earned the same rate of interest as the government program loan ( $10 \% /$ year $)$. The adjusted gross revenue is calculated by deducting the compounded earnings druing the February-August period from the gross revenue.
${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ 4.A.+4.B.+4.C.+4.D.+4.E.+4.F. MOA/SG2000 maize package consists of (quantities/ha) 25 kg seed, 100 kg DAP, 100 kg urea. Mean cost reported by farmers.
${ }^{\text {h }}$ Source: GMRP/MSU/AAU/MOA/SG2000 Survey and rate information from MOA/SG2000. MOA program participants pay $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ interest annually. Assumes that period of loan is $\mathbf{1 0}$ months.
${ }^{i}$ Period of loan assumed to be 13.5 months.
iPeriod of loan assumed to be 17 months.
${ }^{\text {k }}$ Source: GMRP/MSU/AAU/MOA/SG2000 Survey. Includes shelling labor
${ }^{\prime}$ Valued at cash/in-kind payment rates provided by survey participants.
${ }^{\text {m}}$ Sum of (a) rental costs reported by survey respondents and (b) for owned/borrowed oxen, maintenance + depreciated value of animals and animal traction equipment multiplied by percentage of total farm represented by the sample plot.
${ }^{\text {n }}$ Depreciated value of $\mathbf{2}$ sickles, 2 hoes, and 2 spades. Purchase price, life and salvage value of equipment based on field reports by survey supervisors.
${ }^{\circ}$ Depreciated value of sacks needed to transport maize marketed in 1997--98 season. Number of sacks is adjusted for grain losses in storage. Since sacks are retained by famers and used for other purposes, cost is apportioned by multiplying depreciated sack value by percentage of total farm represented by sample plot. Purchase price, life, and value based on field reports by survey supervisors.
${ }^{\mathrm{P}} 3 \mathrm{~A}-(4+5 . \mathrm{A} .+6 . \mathrm{B} .+7+8 \mathrm{~A}+8 \mathrm{~B})$
${ }^{9} 3 B-(4+5 . B .+6 . B .+7+8 A+8 C)$
${ }^{\mathrm{r}} 3 \mathrm{C}-(4+5 . \mathrm{C} .+6 . \mathrm{B} .+7+8 \mathrm{~A}+8 \mathrm{D})$
${ }^{\text {s } 9 \mathrm{~A} / 6 A}$
t9B/6A
"9C/6A

Table 28. Summary of Farm Level Enterprise Budgets for Maize (Jimma), by Program Type

| Budget Item | MOA/SG | Traditional | Graduate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n used in calculations | 69 | 47 | 39 |
| 1. GRAIN YIELD ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (kg/ha) | 5508 | 2814 | 6781 |
| 1.A. January 1998 adjusted yield | 5293 | 2704 | 6516 |
| 1.B. April-May 1998 adjusted yield | 4937 | 2522 | 6078 |
| 1.C. August 1998 adjusted yield | 4605 | 2353 | 5669 |
| 1.D. Aug. 1998, if storage losses decline by $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | 5039 | 2574 | 6203 |
| 2. EST. FARMGATE PRICE ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (birr/kg) |  |  |  |
| 2.A. January 1998 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 |
| 2.B. April-May 1998 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 |
| 2.F. August 1998 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| 3. GROSS REVENUE (birr/ha) |  |  |  |
| 3.A. Jan. Sale | 2042.1 | 1029.1 | 2543.2 |
| 3.B. Apr.-May Sale ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2300.8 | 1160.3 | 2848.3 |
| 3.C. Aug. Sale ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 3257.4 | 1648.0 | 4012.6 |
| 3.D. Aug. Sale, if storage losses decline by $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | 3577.2 | 1811.0 | 4405.7 |
| 4. PACKAGE COSTS ${ }^{\text {f }}$ (birr/ha) | 642 | 280 | 606 |
| 4.A. Seed | 129 | 40 | 122 |
| 4.B. DAP | 263 | 239 | 249 |
| 4.C. Urea | 248 | 0 | 235 |
| 4.D. Herbicide | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.E. Insecticide | 0 | 0 | 0.4 |
| 4.F. Fungicide | 1.6 | . 8 | 0 |
| 5. INTEREST |  |  |  |
| 5.A. January $1998^{\text {g }}$ | 0 | 2.7 | 38.3 |
| 5.B. Apr.-May 1998 ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 0 | 3.7 | 51.7 |
| 5.C. August 1998 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 0 | 4.6 | 65.1 |
| 6. LABOR |  |  |  |
| 6.A. Total family/mutual labor days(adult equiv. days/ha) ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | 135 | 92 | 140 |
| 6.B. Total wage labor (birr/ha) ${ }^{\mathbf{k}}$ | 62 | 36 | 71 |
| 7. ANIMAL TRACTION COST ${ }^{1}$ (birr/ha) | 98 | 112 | 213 |
| 8. HAND TOOLS AND SACKS (birr/ha) | 39.2 | 13.5 | 77.7 |
| 8.A. Hand tools ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | 2.8 | 2.9 | 5.5 |
| 8.B. Sacks ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | 36.3 | 10.5 | 72.2 |
| 9. NET INCOME/HA |  |  |  |
| 9.A. Jan. Sale ${ }^{0}$ | 2042.1 | 1029.1 | 2543.2 |
| 9.B. Apr.-May Sales ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | 2300.8 | 1160.3 | 2848.3 |
| 9.C. Aug. Sale ${ }^{\text {q }}$ | 3257.4 | 1648.0 | 4012.6 |
| 9.D. Aug. Sale, if storage losses decline by $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | 3577.2 | 1811.0 | 4405.7 |
| 9.E. Jan. Sale, 25\% Output Price Decline | 1321.3 | 660.8 | 1655.8 |
| 9.F. Jan. Sale, 50\% Output Price Decline | 600.5 | 292.5 | 768.4 |
| 10. NET INCOME/FAMILY AND MUTUAL LABOR DAY |  |  |  |
| 10.A. Jan. Sale ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 15.1 | 11.2 | 18.2 |
| 10.B. Apr.-May Sale ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 17.0 | 12.6 | 20.3 |
| 10.C. Aug. Sale ${ }^{\text {t }}$ | 24.1 | 17.9 | 28.7 |
| 10.D. Aug. Sale, if storage losses decline by $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | 26.5 | 19.7 | 31.5 |
| 10.E. Jan. Sale, 25\% Output Price Decline | 9.8 | 7.2 | 11.8 |
| 10.F. Jan. Sale, 50\% Output Price Decline | 4.4 | 3.2 | 5.5 |

${ }^{\text {a Source: }}$ crop cut estimates, GMRP/MSU/AAU/MOA/SG2000 Survey. Assumes no grain or straw lost during shelling. Assumes maize was harvested in November and storage losses are $1.98 \%$ per month, the average of estimates from Abraham et al. 1993.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Source: EGTE price monitoring unit and GMRP/MSU/AAU/MOA/SG2000 Survey. Local market prices collected by EGTE are adjusted to farmgate prices using survey data on prices reported by farmers. Prices are average prices for white maize during January 1998, average April-May 1998, and August 1998.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Grain yield* grain price.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Adjusted as follows: if the farmer sold maize in January rather than April-May, it is assumed that earnings from the January sale would have been reinvested and earned the same rate of interest as the government program loan ( $10 \% /$ year). The adjusted gross revenue is calculated by
deducting the compounded earnings druing the February-April/May period from the gross revenue.
${ }^{\text {e }}$ Adjusted as follows: if the farmer sold maize in January rather than August, it is assumed that earnings from the January sale would have been reinvested and earned the same rate of interest as the government program loan ( $\mathbf{1 0 \%} / \mathrm{year}$ ). The adjusted gross revenue is calculated by deducting the compounded earnings druing the February-August period from the gross revenue.
${ }^{f}$ 4.A.+4.B.+4.C.+4.D.+4.E.+4.F. MOA/SG2000 maize package consists of (quantities/ha) 25 kg seed, 100 kg DAP, 100 kg urea. Mean cost reported by farmers.
${ }^{\text {g }}$ Source: GMRP/MSU/AAU/MOA/SG2000 Survey and rate information from MOA/SG2000. MOA program participants pay $10 \%$ interest annually. Assumes that period of loan is 10 months.
${ }^{\text {h }}$ Period of loan assumed to be 13.5 months.
iPeriod of loan assumed to be 17 months.
iSource: GMRP/MSU/AAU/MOA/SG2000 Survey. Includes shelling labor.
${ }^{\text {k V Valued at cash/in-kind payment rates provided by survey participants. }}$
Sum of (a) rental costs reported by survey respondents and (b) for owned/borrowed oxen, maintenance + depreciated value of animals and animal traction equipment multiplied by percentage of total farm represented by the sample plot.
${ }^{m}$ Depreciated value of 2 sickles, 2 hoes, and 2 spades. Purchase price, life and salvage value of equipment based on field reports by survey supervisors.
${ }^{\text {n }}$ Depreciated value of sacks needed to transport maize marketed in 1997--98 season. Number of sacks is adjusted for grain losses in storage. Since sacks are retained by famers and used for other purposes, cost is apportioned by multiplying depreciated sack value by percentage of total farm represented by sample plot. Purchase price, life, and value based on field reports by survey supervisors.
${ }^{\circ} 3 \mathrm{~A}-(4+5 . \mathrm{A} .+6 . \mathrm{B} .+7+8 \mathrm{~A}+8 \mathrm{~B})$
${ }^{\mathrm{P}} 3 \mathrm{~B}-(4+5 . B .+6 . B .+7+8 A+8 C)$
${ }^{9} 3 \mathrm{C}-(4+5 . \mathrm{C} .+6 . \mathrm{B} .+7+8 \mathrm{~A}+8 \mathrm{D})$
r9A/6A
9B/6A
${ }^{\text {t }} 9 \mathrm{C} / 6 \mathrm{~A}$

Table 29. Summary of Farm Level Enterprise Budget for Maize (West Shoa), by Technology Type

| Budget Item | Local seed, no fertilizer | Improved seed + DAP+urea< recommended rate | Improved seed + DAP + urea $>=$ recommended rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n used in calculations ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 33 | 45 | 68 |
| 1. GRAIN YIELD ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (kg/ha) | 3858 | 5784 | 5685 |
| 1.A. January 1998 adjusted yield | 3707 | 5558 | 5463 |
| 1.B. April-May 1998 adjusted yield | 3458 | 5185 | 5096 |
| 1.C. August 1998 adjusted yield | 3225 | 4835 | 4752 |
| 1.D. Aug. 1998, if storage losses decline by $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | 3547 | 5318 | 5226 |
| 2. EST. FARMGATE PRICE ${ }^{\text {c }}$ (birr/kg) |  |  |  |
| 2.A. January 1998 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.69 |
| 2.B. April-May 1998 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 |
| 2.F. August 1998 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| 3. GROSS REVENUE ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |
| 3.A. Jan. Sale | 2558.2 | 3835.3 | 3769.6 |
| 3.B. Apr.-May Sale ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 2425.2 | 3636.4 | 3574.0 |
| 3.C. Aug. Sale ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 2717.3 | 4074.7 | 4004.7 |
| 3.D. Aug. Sale, if storage losses decline by $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | 3000.5 | 4498.7 | 4420.9 |
| 4. PACKAGE COSTS ${ }^{\text {g }}$ (birr/ha) | 71 | 533 | 730 |
| 4.A. Seed | 71 | 110 | 151 |
| 4.B. DAP | 0 | 210 | 289 |
| 4.C. Urea | 0 | 210 | 289 |
| 4.D. Herbicide | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| 4.E. Insecticide | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.F. Fungicide | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. INTEREST |  |  |  |
| 5.A. January $1998{ }^{\text {h }}$ | 0 | 9.0 | 7.0 |
| 5.B. Apr.-May 1998 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 0 | 12.0 | 10.0 |
| 5.C. August 1998 ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | 0 | 15 | 13 |
| 6. LABOR |  |  |  |
| 6.A. Total family/mutual labor days(adult equiv. days/ha) ${ }^{\mathbf{k}}$ | 204 | 158 | 172 |
| 6.B. Total wage labor (birr/ha) ${ }^{1}$ | 92 | 60 | 146 |
| 7. ANIMAL TRACTION COST ${ }^{\text {m }}$ (birr/ha) | 63 | 91 | 96 |
| 8. HAND TOOLS AND SACKS (birr/ha) |  |  |  |
| 8.A. Hand tools ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| 8.B. Sacks--January ${ }^{0}$ | 14.8 | 38.4 | 30.0 |
| 8.C. Sacks--Apr.-May | 13.8 | 35.9 | 27.9 |
| 8.D. Sacks--August | 12.8 | 33.4 | 26.1 |
| 9. NET INCOME/HA |  |  |  |
| 9.A. Jan. Sale ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | 2316.1 | 3102.3 | 2758.9 |
| 9.B. Apr.-May Sales ${ }^{\text {q }}$ | 2184.1 | 2902.9 | 2562.4 |
| 9.C. Aug. Sale ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 2477.2 | 3340.7 | 2991.9 |
| 9.D. Aug. Sale, if storage losses decline by $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | 2759.2 | 3761.4 | 3405.5 |
| 9.E. Jan. Sale, 25\% Output Price Decline | 1676.5 | 2143.4 | 1816.5 |
| 9.F. Jan. Sale, 50\% Output Price Decline | 1037.0 | 1184.6 | 874.1 |
| 10. NET INCOME/FAMILY AND MUTUAL LABOR DAY |  |  |  |
| 10.A. Jan. Sale ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 11.4 | 19.6 | 16.0 |
| 10.B. Apr.-May Sale ${ }^{\text {t }}$ | 10.7 | 18.4 | 14.9 |
| 10.C. Aug. Sale ${ }^{\text {u }}$ | 12.1 | 21.1 | 17.4 |
| 10.D. Aug. Sale, if storage losses decline by $50 \%$ | 13.5 | 23.8 | 19.8 |
| 10.E. Jan. Sale, 25\% Output Price Decline | 8.2 | 13.6 | 10.6 |
| 10.F. Jan. Sale, 50\% Output Price Decline | 5.1 | 7.5 | 5.1 |

[^6]seed+DAP.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Source: crop cut estimates, GMRP/MSU/AAU/MOA/SG2000 Survey. Assumes no grain or straw lost during shelling. Assumes maize was harvested in November and storage losses are $1.98 \%$ per month, the average of estimates from Abraham et al. 1993. 1.D. scenario assumes that storage insecticide is used and grain losses are halved.
'Source: EGTE price monitoring unit and GMRP/MSU/AAU/MOA/SG2000 Survey. Local market prices collected by EGTE are adjusted to farmgate prices using survey data on prices reported by farmers. Prices are average prices for white maize during January 1998, average
April-May 1998, and August 1998.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Grain yield* grain price.
${ }^{\text {e }}$ Adjusted as follows: if the farmer sold maizein January rather than April-May, it is assumed that earnings from the January sale would have been reinvested and earned the same rate of interest as the government program loan ( $10 \% /$ year). The adjusted gross revenue is calculated by deducting the compounded earnings druing the February-April/May period from the gross revenue.
${ }^{\text {f }}$ Adjusted as follows: if the farmer sold maize in January rather than August, it is assumed that earnings from the January sale would have been reinvested and earned the same rate of interest as the government program loan ( $10 \% /$ year ). The adjusted gross revenue is calculated by deducting the compounded earnings druing the February-August period from the gross revenue.
${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ 4.A.+4.B.+4.C.+4.D.+4.E.+4.F. MOA/SG2000 maize package consists of (quantities/ha) 25 kg seed, 100 kg DAP, 100 kg urea. Mean cost reported by farmers.
'Source: GMRP/MSU/AAU/MOA/SG2000 Survey and rate information from MOA/SG2000. MOA program participants pay $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ interest annually. Assumes that period of loan is 10 months.
Period of loan assumed to be 13.5 months.
iPeriod of loan assumed to be 17 months.
${ }^{\text {k }}$ Source: GMRP/MSU/AAU/MOA/SG2000 Survey. Includes shelling labor
'Valued at cash/in-kind payment rates provided by survey participants.
${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ Sum of (a) rental costs reported by survey respondents and (b) for owned/borrowed oxen, maintenance + depreciated value of animals and animal traction equipment multiplied by percentage of total farm represented by the sample plot.
${ }^{\text {n }}$ Depreciated value of $\mathbf{2}$ sickles, 2 hoes, and 2 spades. Purchase price, life and salvage value of equipment based on field reports by survey supervisors.
${ }^{\circ}$ Depreciated value of sacks needed to transport maize marketed in 1997--98 season. Number of sacks is adjusted for grain losses in storage. Since sacks are retained by famers and used for other purposes, cost is apportioned by multiplying depreciated sack value by percentage of total farm represented by sample plot. Purchase price, life, and salvage value based on field reports by survey supervisors.
${ }^{\mathrm{P}} 3 \mathrm{~A}-(4+5 . \mathrm{A} .+6 . \mathrm{B} .+7+8 \mathrm{~A}+8 \mathrm{~B})$
${ }^{9} 3 B-(4+5 . B .+6 . B .+7+8 A+8 C)$
3C - $(4+5 . C .+6 . B .+7+8 A+8 D)$
9A/6A
t9B/6A
"9C/6A

Table 30. Summary of Farm Level Enterprise Budget for Maize (Jimma), by Technology Type

| Budget Item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Local seed + } \\ \text { DAP } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ```Improved seed + DAP+urea< rec. rate``` | ```Improved seed + DAP + urea >= rec. rate``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n used in calculations | 43 | 58 | 50 |
| 1. GRAIN YIELD ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (kg/ha) | 2905 | 6007 | 5922 |
| 1.A. January 1998 adjusted yield | 2791 | 5773 | 5690 |
| 1.B. April-May 1998 adjusted yield | 2604 | 5384 | 5308 |
| 1.C. August 1998 adjusted yield | 2428 | 5022 | 4950 |
| 1.D. Aug. 1998, if storage losses decline by $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | 2671 | 5523 | 5444 |
| 2. EST. FARMGATE PRICE ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (birr/kg) |  |  |  |
| 2.A. January 1998 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 |
| 2.B. April-May 1998 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 |
| 2.F. August 1998 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| 3. GROSS REVENUE ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |
| 3.A. Jan. Sale | 1507.1 | 3117.2 | 3072.6 |
| 3.B. Apr.-May Sale ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1654.5 | 3421.6 | 3372.6 |
| 3.C. Aug. Sale ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 2168.8 | 4484.0 | 4420.6 |
| 3.D. Aug. Sale, if storage losses decline by $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | 2392.0 | 4946.0 | 4875.2 |
| 4. PACKAGE COSTS ${ }^{\text {f }}$ (birr/ha) | 301 | 549 | 721 |
| 4.A. Seed | 39 | 111 | 145 |
| 4.B. DAP | 261 | 225 | 296 |
| 4.C. Urea | 0 | 212 | 278 |
| 4.D. Herbicide | 0 | 0 |  |
| 4.E. Insecticide | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.F. Fungicide | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5. INTEREST |  |  |  |
| 5.A. January 1998 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 3 | 14 | 13 |
| 5.B. Apr.-May 1998 ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 4.0 | 19.0 | 18.0 |
| 5.C. August 1998 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 5.0 | 24.0 | 23.0 |
| 6. LABOR |  |  |  |
| 6.A. Total family/mutual labor days(adult equiv. days/ha) ${ }^{j}$ | 93 | 115 | 162 |
| 6.B. Total wage labor (birr/ha) ${ }^{\mathbf{k}}$ | 36 | 79 | 50 |
| 7. ANIMAL TRACTION COST ${ }^{\text {l }}$ (birr/ha) | 98 | 144 | 134 |
| 8. HAND TOOLS AND SACKS (birr/ha) | 15.4 | 70.4 | 47.9 |
| 8.A. Hand tools ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | 2.9 | 3.8 | 3.7 |
| 8.B. Sacks--January ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | 12.5 | 66.6 | 44.2 |
| 8.C. Sacks--Apr.-May | 11.6 | 62.1 | 41.2 |
| 8.D. Sacks--August | 10.8 | 57.9 | 38.4 |
| 9. NET INCOME/HA |  |  |  |
| 9.A. Jan. Sale ${ }^{\text {o }}$ | 1053.7 | 2260.8 | 2106.7 |
| 9.B. Apr.-May Sales ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | 1201.0 | 2564.7 | 2404.7 |
| 9.C. Aug. Sale ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1715.1 | 3626.3 | 3450.5 |
| 9.D. Aug. Sale, if storage losses decline by $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | 1937.2 | 4082.6 | 3901.3 |
| 9.E. Jan. Sale, 25\% Output Price Decline | 990.9 | 2130.9 | 1978.7 |
| 9.F. Jan. Sale, 50\% Output Price Decline | 509.5 | 1135.2 | 997.2 |
| 10. NET INCOME/FAMILY AND MUTUAL |  |  |  |
| LABOR DAY |  |  |  |
| 10.A. Jan. Sale ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 11.3 | 19.7 | 13.0 |
| 10.B. Apr.-May Sale ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 12.9 | 22.3 | 14.8 |
| 10.C. Aug. Sale ${ }^{\text {t }}$ | 18.4 | 31.5 | 21.3 |
| 10.D. Aug. Sale, if storage losses decline by $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | 20.8 | 35.5 | 24.1 |
| 10.E. Jan. Sale, 25\% Output Price Decline | 10.7 | 18.5 | 12.2 |
| 10.F. Jan. Sale, 50\% Output Price Decline | 5.5 | 9.9 | 6.2 |

[^7]farmgate prices using survey data on prices reported by farmers. Prices are average prices for white maize during January 1998, average April-May 1998, and August 1998.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Grain yield* grain price.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Adjusted as follows: if the farmer sold maize in January rather than April-May, it is assumed that earnings from the January sale would have been reinvested and earned the same rate of interest as the government program loan ( $10 \% /$ year $)$. The adjusted gross revenue is calculated by deducting the compounded earnings during the February-April/May period from the gross revenue.
${ }^{\text {e}}$ Adjusted as follows: if the farmer sold maize in January rather than August, it is assumed that earnings from the January sale would have been reinvested and earned the same rate of interest as the government program loan ( $10 \% /$ year). The adjusted gross revenue is calculated by deducting the compounded earnings during the February-August period from the gross revenue.
${ }^{f}$ 4.A.+4.B.+4.C.+4.D.+4.E.+4.F. MOA/SG2000 maize package consists of (quantities/ha) 25 kg seed, 100 kg DAP, 100 kg urea. Mean cost reported by farmers.
${ }^{\text {S }}$ Source: GMRP/MSU/AAU/MOA/SG2000 Survey and rate information from MOA/SG2000. MOA program participants pay $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ interest annually. Assumes that period of loan is 10 months.
${ }^{\text {h Period of loan assumed to be } 13.5 \text { months. }}$
iPeriod of loan assumed to be 17 months.
iSource: GMRP/MSU/AAU/MOA/SG2000 Survey. Includes shelling labor.
${ }^{\text {k V Valued at cash/in-kind payment rates provided by survey participants. }}$
'Sum of (a) rental costs reported by survey respondents and (b) for owned/borrowed oxen, maintenance + depreciated value of animals and animal traction equipment multiplied by percentage of total farm represented by the sample plot.
${ }^{m}$ Depreciated value of $\mathbf{2}$ sickles, 2 hoes, and 2 spades. Purchase price, life and salvage value of equipment based on field reports by survey supervisors.
${ }^{\text {n }}$ Depreciated value of sacks needed to transport maize marketed in 1997--98 season. Number of sacks is adjusted for grain losses in storage. Since sacks are retained by famers and used for other purposes, cost is apportioned by multiplying depreciated sack value by percentage of total farm represented by sample plot. Purchase price, life, and salvage value based on field reports by survey supervisors.
${ }^{\circ} 3 \mathrm{~A}-(4+5 . \mathrm{A} .+6 . \mathrm{B} .+7+8 \mathrm{~A}+8 \mathrm{~B})$
${ }^{\mathrm{p}} 3 \mathrm{~B}-(4+5 . \mathrm{B} .+6 . B .+7+8 \mathrm{~A}+8 \mathrm{C})$
${ }^{9} 3 \mathrm{C}-(4+5 . \mathrm{C} .+6 . \mathrm{B} .+7+8 \mathrm{~A}+8 \mathrm{D})$
r9A/6A
9B/6A
t9C/6A

Table 31. Summary of Farm Level Enterprise Budget for Tef (East Shoa), by Program Type

| Budget Item | NEP <br> Program | Traditional | Graduate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n used in calculations | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| 1. YIELD ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (kg/ha) |  |  |  |
| 1.A. Grain Yield | 1389 | 1364 | 1455 |
| 1.B. Straw Yield | 2180 | 2025 | 2071 |
| 2. EST. FARMGATE PRICE ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (birr/kg) |  |  |  |
| 2.A. January 1998: Grain | 2.04 | 2.04 | 2.04 |
| 2.B. January 1998: Straw | . 11 | . 11 | . 11 |
| 2.C. April-May 1998: Grain | 2.11 | 2.11 | 2.11 |
| 2.D. April-May 1998: Straw | . 16 | . 16 | . 16 |
| 2.E. August 1998: Grain | 2.51 | 2.51 | 2.51 |
| 2.F. August 1998: Straw | . 23 | . 23 | . 23 |
| 3. GROSS REVENUE ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |
| 3.A. Jan. Sale | 1903.6 | 2090.5 | 2193.4 |
| 3.B. Apr.-May Sale ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2008.9 | 2192.6 | 2299.5 |
| 3.C. Aug. Sale ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 2602.7 | 2771.9 | 2912.5 |
| 4. PACKAGE COSTS ${ }^{\text {f }}$ (birr/ha) | 655 | 540 | 571 |
| 4.A. Seed | 150 | 167 | 190 |
| 4.B. DAP | 251 | 214 | 227 |
| 4.C. Urea | 226 | 141 | 129 |
| 4.D. Herbicide | 28 | 18 | 25 |
| 4.E. Insecticide | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.F. Fungicide | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. INTEREST |  |  |  |
| 5.A. January 1998 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 28.0 | 20.0 | 19.7 |
| 5.B. Apr.-May 1998 ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 41.9 | 30.1 | 29.5 |
| 5.C. August 1998 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 55.9 | 40.1 | 39.4 |
| 6. LABOR |  |  |  |
| 6.A. Total family/mutual labor days(adult equiv. days/ha) ${ }^{j}$ | 64 | 58 | 77 |
| 6.B. Total wage labor (birr/ha) ${ }^{\mathbf{k}}$ | 192 | 142 | 184 |
| 7. ANIMAL TRACTION COST ${ }^{1}$ (birr/ha) | 291 | 210 | 224 |
| 8. HAND TOOLS AND SACKS ${ }^{\text {m }}$ (birr/ha) | 6.5 | 4.9 | 5.7 |
| 8.A. Hand tools ${ }^{\text {n }}$ (birr) | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 8.B. Sacks ${ }^{0}$ (birr) | 4.3 | 3.3 | 4.1 |
| 9. NET INCOME/HA |  |  |  |
| 9.A. Jan. Sale ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | 1903.6 | 2090.5 | 2193.4 |
| 9.B. Apr.-May Sale ${ }^{\text {q }}$ | 2008.9 | 2192.6 | 2299.5 |
| 9.C. Aug. Sale ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 2602.7 | 2771.9 | 2912.5 |
| 9.D. Jan. Sale, 25\% Output Price Decline | 1134.6 | 1338.6 | 1394.0 |
| 9.E. Jan. Sale, 50\% Output Price Decline | 365.6 | 586.8 | 594.5 |
| 10. NET INCOME/FAMILY AND MUTUAL LABOR DAY |  |  |  |
| 10.A. Jan. Sale ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 29.7 | 36.0 | 28.5 |
| 10.B. Apr.-May Sale ${ }^{t}$ | 31.4 | 37.8 | 29.9 |
| 10.C. Aug. Sale ${ }^{\text {u }}$ | 40.7 | 47.8 | 37.8 |
| 10.D. Jan. Sale, 25\% Output Price Decline | 17.7 | 23.1 | 18.1 |
| 10.E. Jan. Sale, 50\% Output Price Decline | 5.7 | 10.1 | 7.7 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Source: crop cut estimates, GMRP/MSU/AAU/MOA/SG2000 Survey. Assumes no grain or straw lost during threshing.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Source: EGTE price monitoring unit and GMRP/MSU/AAU/MOA/SG2000 Survey. Local market prices collected by EGTE are adjusted to farmgate prices using survey data on prices reported by farmers. Prices are average prices for white teff during January 1998, average AprilMay 1998, and August 1998.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Grain yield* grain price)+(straw yield*straw price)
${ }^{\text {d}}$ Adjusted as follows: if the farmer sold tef in January rather than April-May, it is assumed that earnings from the January sale would have been reinvested and earned the same rate of interest as the government program loan ( $10 \% /$ year). The adjusted gross revenue is calculated by deducting the compounded earnings durng the February-April/May period from the gross revenue.
${ }^{\text {e}}$ Adjusted as follows: if the farmer sold tef in January rather than August, it is assumed that earnings from the January sale would have been reinvested and earned the same rate of interest as the government program loan ( $10 \% /$ year $)$. The adjusted gross revenue is calculated by deducting the compounded earnings during the February-August period from the gross revenue.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ 4.A.+4.B.+4.C.+4.D.+4.E.+4.F. MOA tef package consists of (quantities/ha) 35 kg seed, $100 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{DAP}, 100 \mathrm{~kg}$ urea, U-46 herbicide. Mean cost reported by farmers.
${ }^{\text {g }}$ Source: GMRP/MSU/AAU/MOA/SG2000 Survey and rate information from MOA/SG2000. MOA program participants pay $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ interest annually. Assumes that period of loan is 7 months.
${ }^{\text {h Period of loan assumed to be } 10.5 \text { months. }}$
Period of loan assumed to be 14 months.
iSource: GMRP/MSU/AAU/MOA/SG2000 Survey.
${ }^{\text {k Valued at cash/in-kind payment rates provided by survey participants. }}$
Sum of (a) rental costs reported by survey respondents and (b) for owned/borrowed oxen, maintenance + depreciated value of animals and animal traction equipment multiplied by percentage of total farm represented by the sample plot.
${ }^{m}$ Sum of hand tool and sack costs.
${ }^{n}$ Depreciated value of $\mathbf{2}$ sickles, 2 hoes, and 2 spades. Purchase price, life and salvage value of equipment based on field reports by survey supervisors.
${ }^{\circ}$ Depreciated value of sacks needed to transport tef marketed in 1997--98 season. Since sacks are retained by famers and used for other purposes, cost is apportioned by multiplying depreciated sack value by percentage of total farm represented by sample plot. Purchase price and life based on field reports by survey supervisors.
p3 - $(4+5 . \mathrm{A} .+6 . \mathrm{B} .+7+8)$
${ }^{9} 3-(4+5 . B .+6 . B .+7+8)$
r3-(4+5.C. + 6.B. $+7+8)$
s9A/6A
t9B/6A
"9C/6A

Table 32. Summary of Farm Level Enterprise Budget for Tef (East Shoa), by Technology Type

| Budget Item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Program } \\ \text { seed, } \\ \text { recommended } \\ \text { quantities } \\ \text { DAP, urea } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Saved (imp.) seed, near recommended quantities DAP, urea | Saved (imp.) seed, farmer choice DAP/urea |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n used in calculations | 35 | 63 | 69 |
| 1. YIELD ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (kg/ha) |  |  |  |
| 1.A. Grain Yield | 1082 | 1523 | 1482 |
| 1.B. Straw Yield | 2103 | 2144 | 2051 |
| 2. EST. FARMGATE PRICE ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (birr/kg) |  |  |  |
| 2.A. January 1998: Grain | 2.04 | 2.04 | 2.04 |
| 2.B. January 1998: Straw | . 11 | . 11 | . 11 |
| 2.C. April-May 1998: Grain | 2.11 | 2.11 | 2.11 |
| 2.D. April-May 1998: Straw | . 16 | . 16 | . 16 |
| 2.E. August 1998: Grain | 2.51 | 2.51 | 2.51 |
| 2.F. August 1998: Straw | . 23 | . 23 | . 23 |
| 3. GROSS REVENUE ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |
| 3.A. Jan. Sale | 2442.4 | 3344.6 | 3250.5 |
| 3.B. Apr.-May Sale ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2558.1 | 3472.2 | 3373.2 |
| 3.C. Aug. Sale ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 3054 | 4116 | 3997.2 |
| 4. PACKAGE COSTS ${ }^{\text {f }}$ (birr/ha) | 659 | 643 | 536 |
| 4.A. Seed | 146 | 177 | 172 |
| 4.B. DAP | 254 | 231 | 235 |
| 4.C. Urea | 224 | 213 | 108 |
| 4.D. Herbicide | 35 | 22 | 21 |
| 4.E. Insecticide | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.F. Fungicide | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. INTEREST |  |  |  |
| 5.A. January 1998 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 31.0 | 23.5 | 19.2 |
| 5.B. Apr.-May 1998 ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 46.5 | 35.3 | 28.8 |
| 5.C. August 1998 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 62 | 47.1 | 38.4 |
| 6. LABOR |  |  |  |
| 6.A. Total family/mutual labor days(adult equiv. days/ha) ${ }^{\mathrm{j}}$ | 68 | 67 | 66 |
| 6.B. Total wage labor (birr/ha) ${ }^{\mathbf{k}}$ | 141 | 227 | 154 |
| 7. ANIMAL TRACTION COST ${ }^{1}$ (birr/ha) | 273 | 251 | 228 |
| 8. HAND TOOLS AND SACKS ${ }^{\text {m }}$ (birr/ha) | 7.0 | 8.0 | 7.3 |
| 8.A. Hand tools ${ }^{\text {n }}$ (birr) | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| 8.B. Sacks ${ }^{\text {o }}$ (birr) | 4.9 | 6.2 | 5.6 |
| 9. NET INCOME/HA |  |  |  |
| 9.A. Jan. Sale ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | 1331.4 | 2192.1 | 2306.0 |
| 9.B. Apr.-May Sale ${ }^{\text {q }}$ | 1431.6 | 2385.0 | 2494.0 |
| 9.C. Aug. Sale ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | 1912.0 | 3139.7 | 3227.8 |
| 9.D. Jan. Sale, 25\% Output Price Decline | 721.8 | 1356.4 | 1493.8 |
| 9.E. Jan. Sale, 50\% Output Price Decline | 112.1 | 520.7 | 681.6 |
| 10. NET INCOME/FAMILY AND MUTUAL LABOR DAY |  |  |  |
| 10.A. Jan. Sale ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 19.6 | 32.7 | 34.9 |
| 10.B. Apr.-May Sale ${ }^{t}$ | 21.1 | 35.6 | 37.8 |
| 10.C. Aug. Sale ${ }^{\text {u }}$ | 28.1 | 46.9 | 48.9 |
| 10.D. Jan. Sale, 25\% Output Price Decline | 10.6 | 20.2 | 22.6 |
| 10.E. Jan. Sale, 50\% Output Price Decline | 1.6 | 7.8 | 10.3 |

${ }^{\text {a Source: }}$ crop cut estimates, GMRP/MSU/AAU/MOA/SG2000 Survey. Assumes no grain or straw lost during threshing.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Source: EGTE price monitoring unit and GMRP/MSU/AAU/MOA/SG2000 Survey. Local market prices collected by EGTE are adjusted to farmgate prices using survey data on prices reported by farmers. Prices are average prices for white teff during January 1998, average AprilMay 1998, and August 1998.
${ }^{\text {c/ }}$ Grain yield* grain price)+(straw yield*straw price)
${ }^{\text {d Adjusted as follows: if the farmer sold tef in January rather than April-May, it is assumed that earnings from the January sale would have }}$
been reinvested and earned the same rate of interest as the government program loan ( $10 \% /$ year). The adjusted gross revenue is calculated by deducting the compounded earnings druing the February-April/May period from the gross revenue.
${ }^{\text {e }}$ Adjusted as follows: if the farmer sold tef in January rather than August, it is assumed that earnings from the January sale would have been reinvested and earned the same rate of interest as the government program loan ( $10 \% /$ year $)$. The adjusted gross revenue is calculated by deducting the compounded earnings druing the February-August period from the gross revenue.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ 4.A.+4.B.+4.C.+4.D.+4.E.+4.F. MOA tef package consists of (quantities/ha) 35 kg seed, 100 kg DAP, 100 kg urea, U-46 herbicide. Mean cost reported by farmers.
${ }^{\text {g }}$ Source: GMRP/MSU/AAU/MOA/SG2000 Survey and rate information from MOA/SG2000. MOA program participants pay $10 \%$ interest annually. Assumes that period of loan is 7 months.
${ }^{\text {h Period of loan assumed to be } 10.5 \text { months. }}$
Period of loan assumed to be 14 months.
iSource: GMRP/MSU/AAU/MOA/SG2000 Survey.
${ }^{\text {k }}$ Valued at cash/in-kind payment rates provided by survey participants.
Sum of (a) rental costs reported by survey respondents and (b) for owned/borrowed oxen, maintenance + depreciated value of animals and animal traction equipment multiplied by percentage of total farm represented by the sample plot.
${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ Sum of hand tool and sack costs.
Depreciated value of $\mathbf{2}$ sickles, $\mathbf{2}$ hoes, and 2 spades. Purchase price, life and salvage value of equipment based on field reports by survey supervisors.
Depreciated value of sacks needed to transport tef marketed in 1997--98 season. Since sacks are retained by famers and used for other purposes, cost is apportioned by multiplying depreciated sack value by percentage of total farm represented by sample plot. Purchase price, life, and salvage value based on field reports by survey supervisors.
p3 - ( $4+$ 5.A. $+6 . B .+7+8)$
${ }^{9} 3-(4+5 . B .+6 . B .+7+8)$
3-(4+5.C. + 6.B. + $7+8$ )
9A/6A
9B/6A
${ }^{4} 9 \mathrm{C} / 6 \mathrm{~A}$

## APPENDIX 4: ECONOMIC BUDGETS

## PART 1: SUMMARY OF ECONOMIC BUDGETS FOR MAIZE AND TEFF

Table 33. Summary of Economic Budgets for Maize by Zone, Program Type and Input Level

multiplied by percentage of total farm represented by the sample plot. Maintenance and depreciation values based on reports by survey supervisors.
Depreciated value of 2 sickles, 2 hoes, and 2 spades and value of sacks needed to transport maize marketed in 1997/98 season.. Purchase price, life and value based on field reports by survey supervisors. Number of sacks is adjusted for grain losses in storage. Since sacks are retained by famers and used for other purposes, cost is apportioned by multiplying depreciated sack value by percentage of total farm represented by sample plot. Purchase price, life, and value based on field reports by survey supervisors.
jValued at cash/in-kind payment rates provided by survey participants.
${ }^{\text {k}}$ Family and mutual labor was valued at 0.5 of the median wage rate for each zone, which ranged from 3-6 birr/day.
'Gross revenue - (package costs + cost of capital + hand tools and sacks + purchased labor + value of family and mutual labor).
${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ Calculations based on MOA data presented in Gordon, Habtemariam, and Kiflu 1995. Estimated extension and credit costs are 843 birr/ha.
${ }^{\text {f }}$ Assumes low fertilizer prices. For detailed calculations, see Tables 37 and 38.

Table 34. Summary of Economic Budgets for Teff by Zone, Program Type and Input Level

| Zone/Budget Item | EAST SHOA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Program Type |  |  | Input Level |  |  |
|  | MOA/SG | Traditional | Graduate | Prog. seed, recommended quantities DAP, urea | Saved (imp) seed, <br> near recommended DAP, urea | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Saved (imp) } \\ \text { seed,near } \\ \text { recommended } \\ \text { DAP, 50\% urea } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| n used in calculations | 60 | 60 | 60 | 35 | 63 | 69 |
| 1. YIELD $(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha})^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grain | 1389 | 1364 | 1455 | 1082 | 1523 | 1482 |
| Straw | 2180 | 2025 | 2071 | 2103 | 2144 | 2051 |
| 2. PRICE (birr/kg) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Straw ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| Import Parity ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1.95 | 1.95 | 1.95 | 1.95 | 1.95 | 1.95 |
| 3. GROSS REVENUE (birr/ha) | 2962 | 2895 | 3078 | 2354 | 3220 | 3129 |
| 4. PACKAGE COSTS (birr/ha) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer, Seed, Pest. (Hi Fert) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 699 | 571 | 617 | 703 | 694 | 570 |
| Fertilizer, Seed, Pest. (Lo Fert.) ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 576 | 489 | 533 | 581 | 575 | 499 |
| 5. COST OF CAPITAL (birr/ha) ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer, Seed, Pest. (Hi Fert) | 105 | 86 | 93 | 105 | 104 | 86 |
| Fertilizer, Seed, Pest. (Lo Fert.) | 86 | 73 | 80 | 87 | 86 | 75 |
| 6. ANIMAL TRACTION COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (birr/ha) ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 291 | 210 | 224 | 273 | 251 | 228 |
| 7. HAND TOOLS AND SACKS (birr/ha) ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 7 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 7 |
| 8. LABOR (birr/ha) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchased labor ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 192 | 142 | 184 | 141 | 227 | 154 |
| Value of family and mutual labor ${ }^{\mathbf{j}}$ | 171 | 155 | 205 | 181 | 179 | 176 |
| 9. NET INCOME ${ }^{\text {k }}$ (Birr/ha) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Import Parity Hi Fert Price | 1498 | 1728 | 1750 | 943 | 1757 | 1908 |
| Import Parity Lo Fert Price | 1640 | 1822 | 1846 | 1084 | 1894 | 1990 |
| Import Parity Hi Fert incl. extension, credit |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Import Parity Lo Fert incl. extension, credit costs | 797 | n/a | 1003 | 241 | 1051 | 1147 |
| Import Parity Hi Fert incl. 50\% extension, credit costs | 1077 |  | 1328 | 521 | 1335 | 1486 |
| Import Parity Lo Fert incl. 50\% extension, credit costs | 1218 |  | 1425 | 662 | 1472 | 1569 |

Sources: Survey and secondary data
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Source: crop cut estimates, GMRP/MSU/AAU/MOA/SG2000 Survey. Assumes no grain or straw lost during threshing or storage.
${ }^{\text {c Import parity price. Since teff is not widely traded on the world market, the import parity price for wheat, a substitute for teff in Ethiopia, is calculated instead. }}$
Because there is a significant price difference between teff and wheat in the domestic market, however, a price premium of $40 \%$ (reflecting the higher value consumers place on teff over wheat) was added to the wheat price based on price data from the FEWS-European Union Food Security Project. Detailed calculations are presented in Table 36.
${ }^{\text {d}}$ Assumes the following fertilizer prices: DAP(FOB US Gulf) USD 240; urea (FOB Middle East port) USD 225.. For detailed calculations, see Tables 37 and 38. Assumes that the market price of seed and pesticides accutately reflects their economic value.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Assumes the following fertilizer prices: DAP(FOB US Gulf) USD 200, urea (FOB Middle East port) USD 100. Quantities and costs were based on survey data and interviews with SG/NEP program administrators. Import parity calculations for fertilizer are shown in Tables 37 and 38.
${ }^{\text {f }}$ The economic opportunity cost of cash investments in agricultural production is estimated as $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$, based on the average market in terest rate in non-agricultural sectors (UNDP 1997).
${ }^{\text {g }}$ Sum of (a) rental costs reported by survey respondents and (b) for owned/borrowed oxen, maintenance + depreciated value of animals and animal traction equipment multiplied by percentage of total farm represented by the sample plot. Maintenance and depreciation values based on reports by survey supervisors.
${ }^{\text {h}}$ Depreciated value of 2 sickles, 2 hoes, and 2 spades and value of sacks needed to transport maize marketed in 1997/98 season.. Purchase price, life and value based on field reports by survey supervisors. Number of sacks is adjusted for grain losses in storage. Since sacks are retained by famers and used for other purposes, cost is apportioned by multiplying depreciated sack value by percentage of total farm represented by sample plot. Purchase price, life, and value based on field reports by survey supervisors.
${ }^{i}$ Valued at cash/in-kind payment rates provided by survey participants.
${ }^{j}$ Family and mutual labor was valued at 0.5 of the median wage rate for each zone, which ranged from 3-6 birr/day.
${ }^{\text {k }}$ gross revenue - (package costs + cost of capital + hand tools and sacks + purchased labor + value of family and mutual labor).
${ }^{1}$ Calculations based on MOA data presented in Gordon, Habtemariam, and Kiflu 1995. Estimated extension and credit costs are 843 birr/ha.

## PART 2: CALCULATION OF ECONOMIC PRICES FOR MAIZE AND TEFF

Assumptions for Maize and Teff Price Calculations

| Location Distance | Distance road (km) | Rate/ton/km | Handling costs birr/qt | Storage costs birr/qt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assab- Addis Ababa | 762 | 0.35 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Assab-Jimma | 1228 | 0.35 | 0.5 | 0.25 |
| Assab-Weliso (km) | 998 | 0.35 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Assab-Debre Zeit | 835 | 0.35 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Djibouti-Addis Ababa | 951 | 0.39 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Djibouti-Jimma | 1271 | 0.39 | 0.5 | 0.25 |
| Djibouti-Weliso | 1041 | 0.39 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Djibouti-Debre Zeit | 878 | 0.39 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Addis Ababa-Weliso | 115 | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |
| Addis Ababa-Jimma | 343 | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |
| Addis Ababa-Debre Zeit | 73 | 0.5 |  |  |

2. Exchange rate ${ }^{\text {b }}$

Average marginal rate October 1997-August 1998: 6.97 birr = 1 USD
Average parallel rate October 1997-August 1998: 7.15 birr = 1 USD
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Source: Kassahun 1998
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Source: National Bank of Ethiopia 1998

## Table 35. Calculation of Import and Export Parity Prices for Maize

1. Calculation of on-farm import parity prices
(a) Calculation of wholesale price in Addis Ababa at import parity

|  | USD/ton |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Assab | Djibouti |
| Yellow maize, FOB Gulf ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 106.00 | 106.00 |
| Premium for white maize ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 10.00 | 10.00 |
| Freight and insurance, Gulf to Assab/Djibouti ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 36.06 | 36.06 |
| C.I.F. Assab/Djibouti | 152.06 | 152.06 |
| Bank Charges @ 1.25\% CIF ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1.90 | 1.90 |
| Transit charge ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2.61 | 3.40 |
| Port charges ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1.00 | 1.12 |
| Stevedoring ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 6.50 | 6.00 |
| Crainage ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2.00 | 0 |
| Bagging ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 4.25 | 4.25 |
| Losses @ 0.5\% CIF ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.76 | 0.76 |
| Administration, overhead ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| Cost of capital@10.5\% for 3 months on $100 \%$ CIF ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 3.99 | 3.99 |
| Procurement cost F.O.T. | 175.22 | 173.63 |
| Procurement margin ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 2.87 | 2.87 |
| Distributor Price F.O.T. | 178.09 | 176.50 |
| Transport to Addis Ababa ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 38.02 | 52.88 |
| Unloading into store | 0.72 | 0.72 |
| Cost delivered to warehouse | 216.83 | 230.10 |
| Storage -- 1 month | 0.29 | 0.29 |


|  | USD/ton |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Assab | Djibouti |
| Wholesale margin ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 2.87 | 2.87 |
| Wholesale price -- Addis Ababa | 219.99 | 233.26 |
| (b) Calculation of price to farmer in Weliso |  |  |
| Item | Assab | Djibouti |
| Wholesale price -- Addis Ababa | 219.99 | 233.26 |
| Overheads/profit margin of trader ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 2.87 | 2.87 |
| Transport from Weliso to Addis | 5.74 | 5.74 |
| Ababa |  |  |
| Transport from farm to Weliso ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 8.55 | 8.55 |
| Bags ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 9.79 | 9.79 |
| Price paid to Weliso farmer | 193.04 | 206.30 |
| (c) Calculation of price to farmer in Jimma |  |  |
| Item | Assab | Djibouti |
| Wholesale price -- Addis Ababa | 219.99 | 233.26 |
| Overheads/profit margin of trader ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 2.87 | 2.87 |
| Transport from Jimma to Addis | 17.12 | 17.12 |
| Ababa |  |  |
| Transport from farm to Jimma ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 9.27 | 9.27 |
| Bags ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 8.39 | 8.39 |
| Price paid to Weliso farmer | 182.35 | 195.61 |

2. Calculation of economic on-farm prices based on export parity
(a) Maximum Price to Weliso farmers supplying Kenya

|  | USD/ton |
| :---: | :---: |
| Item | High Price |
| CIF Mombasa ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | 194.00 |
| Freight and insurance, Assab- | 20.00 |
| Mombasa ${ }^{\text {k }}$ |  |
| F.O.B. Assab/Djibouti | 174.00 |
| Wholesaler/exporter's margin ${ }^{1}$ | 5.74 |
| Subtotal | 168.26 |
| Transit charge ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2.61 |
| Port charges ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1.00 |
| Stevedoring ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 6.50 |
| Crainage ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1.00 |
| Losses @ 0.5\% CIF ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.87 |
| Port administration, overhead ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.15 |
| Informal trader's margin ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 2.87 |
| Transport from Weliso to port | 50.00 |
| Loading into truck | 1.00 |
| Transport from farmgate to Weliso ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 8.55 |
| Bags | 9.79 |
| Price paid to Weliso farmer | 84.00 |

(b) Maximum Price to Jimma farmers supplying Kenya

|  | USD/ton |
| :---: | :---: |
| Item | High Price |
| CIF Mombasa ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | 194.00 |
| Freight and insurance, Assab- | 20.00 |
| Mombasa ${ }^{\text {k }}$ |  |
| F.O.B. Assab/Djibouti | 174.00 |
| Wholesaler/exporter's margin ${ }^{1}$ | 5.74 |
| Subtotal | 168.26 |
| Transit charge ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2.61 |
| Port charges ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1.00 |
| Stevedoring ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 6.50 |
| Crainage ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1.00 |
| Losses @ 0.5\% CIF ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.87 |
| Port administration, overhead ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.15 |
| Informal trader's margin ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 2.87 |
| Transport from Jimma to port | 61.00 |
| Loading into truck | 1.00 |
| Transport from farmgate to Jimma ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 9.27 |
| Bags | 8.39 |
| Price paid to Jimma farmer | 74.00 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Average yellow maize price October 1997-August 1998 F.O.B. U.S. Gulf. This is the time period when imported maize intended to substitute for 1997/98 domestic production would be purchased. Source:
FAO/GIEWS Food Outlook No. 1-4. www.fao.org/waicent/faoinfo/economic/giews
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Based on Coulter 1995.
'IFDC 1993.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Kassahun 1998.
${ }^{\text {e }}$ Estimated at 2 birr/qt.
${ }^{\text {f }}$ Assumes that $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ of transport cost is composed of traded goods and valued at the parallel exchange rate.
${ }^{\text {g }}$ Estimated at 2 birr/qt.
${ }^{\text {h }}$ Source: survey supervisors' reports. Assumes that $75 \%$ of transport cost is composed of traded goods and valued at the parallel exchange rate.
${ }^{\text {i}}$ Full price for $10-100 \mathrm{~kg}$ bags. Data from survey supervisors' reports. Assumes that bags are imported and values them at parallel exchange rate.
${ }^{\mathrm{j}} 1997$ price (T.Jayne, personal communication).
${ }^{\mathrm{k}}$ Estimated.
Estimated at 4 birr/qt.

## Table 36. Calculation of Import Parity Prices for Wheat

1. Calculation of on-farm import parity prices
(a) Calculation of wholesale price in Addis Ababa at import parity

|  | USD/ton |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Assab | Diibouti |
| No. 2 hard winter wheat, FOB Gulf ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 135.40 | 135.40 |
| Tef premium ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 54.16 | 54.16 |
| Freight and insurance, Gulf to Assab/Djibouti ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 36.35 | 36.35 |
| C.I.F. Assab/Djibouti | 225.91 | 225.91 |
| Bank Charges @ 1.25\% CIF ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2.82 | 2.82 |
| Transit charge ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2.61 | 3.40 |
| Port charges ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1.00 | 1.12 |


|  | USD/ton |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Assab | Djibouti |
| Stevedoring ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 6.50 | 6.00 |
| Crainage ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2.00 | 0 |
| Bagging ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 4.25 | 4.25 |
| Losses @ 0.5\% CIF ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1.13 | 1.13 |
| Administration, overhead ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| Cost of capital@10.5\% for 3 months on $100 \%$ CIF $^{\text {d }}$ | 5.93 | 5.93 |
| Procurement cost F.O.T. | 252.31 | 250.72 |
| Procurement margin ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 2.87 | 2.87 |
| Distributor Price F.O.T. | 255.18 | 253.59 |
| Transport to Addis Ababa ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 38.02 | 52.88 |
| Unloading into store | 0.72 | 0.72 |
| Cost delivered to warehouse | 293.92 | 307.18 |
| Storage -- 1 month | 0.29 | 0.29 |
| Wholesale margin ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 2.87 | 2.87 |
| Wholesale price -- Addis Ababa | 297.08 | 310.34 |
| (b) Calculation of price to farmer in Debre Zeit |  |  |
| Item | Assab | Djibouti |
| Wholesale price -- Addis Ababa | 297.08 | 310.34 |
| Overheads/profit margin of trader ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 2.87 | 2.87 |
| Transport from Debre Zeit to Addis | 3.64 | 3.64 |
| Ababa |  |  |
| Transport from farm to Debre Zeit ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 17.11 | 17.11 |
| Bags ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 5.59 | 5.59 |
| Price paid to Debre Zeit farmer | 267.86 | 281.13 |

${ }^{\text {a Average price October 1997-August } 1998 \text { F.O.B. U.S. Gulf. This is the period when imported wheat }}$ intended to substitute for 1997/98 domestic production would be purchased. Source: FAO/GIEWS Food Outlook No. 1-4. www.fao.org/waicent/faoinfo/economic/giews
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Price premium for tef over wheat is estimated at $40 \%$, based on reviews of 1998 FEWS-EC Food Security Bulletin and the 1985-96 trend (GMRP 1997).
${ }^{\text {c }}$ IFDC 1993.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Kassahun 1998.
${ }^{\text {e }}$ Estimated at 2 birr/qt.
${ }^{\text {f }}$ Assumes that $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ of transport cost is composed of traded goods and valued at the parallel exchange rate.
${ }^{\text {g }}$ Estimated at 2 birr/qt.
${ }^{\text {h }}$ Source: survey supervisors' reports. Assumes that $75 \%$ of transport cost is composed of traded goods and valued at the parallel exchange rate.
${ }^{\text {i}}$ Full price for $10-100 \mathrm{~kg}$ bags. Data from survey supervisors' reports. Assumes that bags are imported; they are valued at the parallel exchange rate.
${ }^{\text {j }} 1997$ price (T.Jayne, personal communication).
${ }^{\text {k }}$ Estimated.
${ }^{1}$ Estimated at 4 birr/qt.

## PART 3: CALCULATION OF ECONOMIC PRICES FOR DAP AND UREA FERTILIZERS

## Assumptions for Fertilizer Price Calculations


2. Exchange rate ${ }^{\text {b }}$

Average marginal rate November 1996-March 1997: 6.5 birr = 1 USD
Average parallel rate November 1996-March 1997: 7.13 birr = 1 USD
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Source: Kassahun 1998
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Source: National Bank of Ethiopia 1998. This is the time period when fertilizer was purchased for the 1997 season

## Table 37. Calculation of Import Parity Prices for DAP

(a) Calculation of wholesale price in Nazret at import parity

| Item | High Price - USD/ton |  | Low Price - USD/ton |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Assab | Djibouti | Assab | Djibouti |
| DAP FOB US Gulf ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 240.00 | 240.00 | 200.00 | 200.00 |
| Freight and insurance ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 37.40 | 37.40 | 37.00 | 37.00 |
| C.I.F. Assab/Djibouti | 277.40 | 277.40 | 237.00 | 237.00 |
| Bank charges @ 1.25\% CIF ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 3.47 | 3.47 | 2.96 | 2.96 |
| Transit charges ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2.61 | 3.40 | 2.61 | 3.40 |
| Port charges ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1.00 | 1.12 | 1.00 | 1.12 |
| Stevedoring ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 6.50 | 6.00 | 6.50 | 6.00 |
| Crainage ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 |
| Equipment in hold ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 |
| Bagging ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 |
| Losses@ 5\% CIF ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1.39 | 1.39 | 1.19 | 1.19 |
| Port administration and overhead ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| Interest @ $\mathbf{1 0 . 5 \%}$ for $3 \mathrm{mo} .100 \%{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 7.28 | 7.28 | 6.22 | 6.22 |
| CIF ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Procurement cost F.O.T. | 306.32 | 304.73 | 264.15 | 262.56 |
| Procurement margin ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 3.07 | 3.07 | 3.07 | 3.07 |


| Distributor Price F.O.T. | 309.39 | 307.80 | 267.22 | 265.63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transport Assab/Djibouti to | 41.26 | 48.50 | 41.26 | 48.50 |
| Nazret ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Unloading into store ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 |
| Cost delivered to warehouse | 351.42 | 357.07 | 309.25 | 314.90 |
| Storage -- 2 months ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1.08 | 1.08 | 1.08 | 1.08 |
| Loading into truck ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 |
| Wholesale/retail margin ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 3.07 | 3.07 | 3.07 | 3.07 |
| Wholesale price -- Nazret | 356.34 | 361.99 | 314.17 | 319.82 |


| (b) Calculation of price to farmer in Weliso |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wholesale price -- Nazret | 356.34 | 361.99 | 314.17 | 319.82 |
| Transport from Nazret to Weliso ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 11.21 | 11.21 | 11.21 | 11.21 |
| Unloading into store ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 |
| Storage -- 1 month ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 |
| Transport -- Weliso to farm ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 9.02 | 9.02 | 9.02 | 9.02 |
| Price at Weliso farmgate | 377.65 | 383.30 | 335.48 | 341.13 |
| (c) Calculation of price to farmer in Jimma |  |  |  |  |
| Wholesale price -- Nazret | 356.34 | 361.99 | 314.17 | 319.82 |
| Transport from Nazret to Jimma ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 23.21 | 23.21 | 23.21 | 23.21 |
| Unloading into store ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 |
| Storage -- 1 month ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 |
| Transport -- Jimma to farm ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 9.77 | 9.77 | 9.77 | 9.77 |
| Price at Jimma farmgate | 390.40 | 396.05 | 348.23 | 353.88 |


| (b) Calculation of price to farmer in Debre Zeit |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wholesale price -- Nazret | 356.34 | 361.99 | 314.17 | 319.82 |
| Transport from Nazret to Debre ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 2.68 | 2.68 | 2.68 | 2.68 |
| Unloading into store ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 |
| Storage -- 1 month ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 |
| Transport -- Debre Zeit to farm ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 18.04 | 18.04 | 18.04 | 18.04 |
| Price at Debre Zeit farmgate | 378.14 | 383.79 | 335.98 | 341.62 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Sources: NFIA 1996 (high); World Bank 1995 (low)
${ }^{\text {b }}$ IFDC 1993
'Kassahun 1998
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Estimated at 2 birr/qt.
${ }^{\text {e }}$ Assumes that $75 \%$ of transport cost is composed of traded goods and valued at the parallel exchange rate. 'Source: survey supervisors' reports. Assumes that $75 \%$ of transport cost is composed of traded goods and valued at the parallel exchange rate.
${ }^{\text {g }}$ Full price for $10-100 \mathrm{~kg}$ bags. Data from survey supervisors' reports. Assumes that bags are imported; they are valued at the parallel exchange rate.

## Table 38. Calculation of Import Parity Prices for Urea

(a) Calculation of wholesale price in Nazret at import parity

| Item | High Price - USD/ton |  | Low Price - USD/ton |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Urea FOB Middle East ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\frac{225.00}{}$ | 225.00 | $\frac{100.00}{}$ | - 100.00 |
| Freight and insurance ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 17.25 | 17.25 | 16.00 | 16.00 |
| C.I.F. Assab/Djibouti | 242.25 | 242.25 | 116.00 | 116.00 |
| Bank charges @ $1.25 \%$ CIF $^{\text {c }}$ | 3.03 | 3.03 | 1.45 | 1.45 |
| Transit charges ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2.61 | 3.40 | 2.61 | 3.40 |
| Port charges ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1.00 | 1.12 | 1.00 | 1.12 |
| Stevedoring ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 6.50 | 6.00 | 6.50 | 6.00 |
| Crainage ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 |
| Equipment in hold ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Bagging ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 |
| Losses@ 5\% CIF ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1.21 | 1.21 | 0.58 | 0.58 |
| Port administration and overhead ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| Interest @ $\mathbf{1 0 . 5 \%}$ for $3 \mathrm{mo} .100 \%{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 6.36 | 6.36 | 3.05 | 3.05 |
| Procurement cost F.O.T. | 269.36 | 267.77 | 137.59 | 136.00 |
| Procurement margin ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 3.07 | 3.07 | 3.07 | 3.07 |
| Distributor Price F.O.T. | 272.43 | 270.84 | 140.66 | 139.07 |
| Transport Assab/Djibouti to | 39.42 | 46.33 | 39.42 | 46.33 |
| Nazret ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Unloading into store ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 |
| Cost delivered to warehouse | 312.62 | 317.94 | 180.84 | 186.17 |
| Storage -- 2 months ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1.08 | 1.08 | 1.08 | 1.08 |
| Loading into truck ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 |
| Wholesale/retail margin ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 3.07 | 3.07 | 3.07 | 3.07 |
| Wholesale price -- Nazret | 317.54 | 322.86 | 185.76 | 191.09 |

(b) Calculation of price to farmer in Weliso

| Wholesale price -- Nazret | 317.54 | 322.86 | 185.76 | 191.09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transport from Nazret to Weliso ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 11.21 | 11.21 | 11.21 | 11.21 |
| Unloading into store ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 |
| Storage -- 1 month ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 |
| Transport -- Weliso to farm ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 9.02 | 9.02 | 9.02 | 9.02 |
| Price at Weliso farmgate | 338.85 | 344.17 | 207.07 | 212.40 |


| (c) Calculation of price to farmer in Jimma |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wholesale price -- Nazret | 317.54 | $\mathbf{3 2 2 . 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 . 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 1 . 0 9}$ |
| Transport from Nazret to Jimma | e | 23.21 | 23.21 | 23.21 |
| Unloading into store $^{\text {c }}$ | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.21 |
| Storage -- $^{\text {1 month }}$ |  | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 |
| Transport -- Jimma to farm |  | 0.31 |  |  |
| Price at Jimma farmgate | $\mathbf{9 . 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 7 7}$ |

(b) Calculation of price to farmer in Debre Zeit

Wholesale price -- Nazret 317.54

| Transport from Nazret to Debre ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ | 2.68 | 2.68 | 2.68 | $\mathbf{2 . 6 8}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Unloading into store ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$

0.77 0.77
0.77
0.77

| Storage -- 1 month $^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Transport -- Debre Zeit to farm |  |  |  |  |
| Price at Debre Zeit farmgate | $\mathbf{1 8 . 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 0 4}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Sources: NFIA 1996 (high); Stepanek 1999 (low)
${ }^{\text {b }}$ IFDC 1993
'Kassahun 1998
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Estimated at 2 birr/qt.
${ }^{e}$ Assumes that $75 \%$ of transport cost is composed of traded goods and valued at the parallel exchange rate. ${ }^{\text {f }}$ Source: survey supervisors' reports. Assumes that $75 \%$ of transport cost is composed of traded goods and valued at the parallel exchange rate.
${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Full price for 10-100 kg bags. Data from survey supervisors' reports. Assumes that bags are imported; they are valued at the parallel exchange rate.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This method was based on recommendations from Drs. Rick Ward and Richard Harwood of MSU's Department of Crop and Soil Sciences and Mr. Tewabe Mihret of the Central Statistical Agency, Addis Ababa.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Respectively, Professor, Department of Zoology, Michigan State University, and Visiting Assistant Professor, Department of Agricultural Economics, Michigan State University

[^2]:    300=DAP 400=Urea
    $700=$ storage insecticide $800=$ fungicide

[^3]:    300=DAP 400=Urea
    $700=$ storage insecticide $800=$ fungicide

[^4]:    1= plowing oxen
    4= calves (<2 years) 5= horses
    $7=$ sheep and goats

[^5]:    $1=$ yes

[^6]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Two households surveyed are excluded from this analysis because they represent unique technology types: local seed+DAP+urea; improved

[^7]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Source: crop cut estimates, GMRP/MSU/AAU/MOA/SG2000 Survey. Assumes no grain or straw lost during shelling. Assumes maize was harvested in November and storage losses are $1.98 \%$ per month, the average of estimates from Abraham et al. 1993.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Source: EGTE price monitoring unit and GMRP/MSU/AAU/MOA/SG2000 Survey. Local market prices collected by EGTE are adjusted to

